

Leichhardt Municipal Council



AGENDA

ORDINARY MEETING

**13 DECEMBER 2011**

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT TO ITEM 14

**LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**

**ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL**

Attached is a Supplementary Report to Item 14 for the December Ordinary Meeting "Birchgrove Oval Floodlights D/2011/574" which provides further information in respect of the proposal and addresses the issues raised at the Works Inspection held on 3 December 2011

Peter Head  
GENERAL MANAGER

**12 December 2011**

**LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**

**REPORT**

<b>DIVISION:</b>	ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT TO ITEM 14- BIRCHGROVE OVAL FLOODLIGHTS D/2011/574
<b>FILE REF:</b>	F09/
<b>DATE:</b>	12 DECEMBER 2011

---

**BUILDING & DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL  
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**

**DECEMBER 2011**



---

<b>Development Application No.</b>	➤ D/2011/574
<b>Address</b>	➤ Birchgrove Park, The Terrace, BIRCHGROVE NSW 2041
<b>Description of Development</b>	➤ Removal of existing 25m floodlight tower and replace with a relocated 15m tower in Birchgrove Park. Demolition of existing toilet and storage block adjacent to oval.
<b>Date of Receipt</b>	➤ 3 November 2011
<b>Value of Works</b>	➤ \$25,000
<b>Applicant's Details</b>	➤ Leichhardt Council C/- Peter Head PO Box 45, LEICHHARDT NSW 2040
<b>Owner's Details</b>	➤ Trustees Birchgrove Park PO Box 45, LEICHHARDT NSW 2040
<b>Notification Dates</b>	➤ 14 November 2011 to 28 November 2011
<b>Number of Submissions</b>	➤ 33 (30 objections, 3 in support)
<b>Building Classification</b>	➤ 10b
<b>Integrated Development</b>	➤ No
<b>Reason for Determination at Council</b>	Council Application

---

<b>Main Issues</b>	➤ Heritage impacts ➤ Illumination for other park users
<b>Recommendation</b>	➤ Approval

---

<b>Attachment A</b>	➤ Plans of Proposal
---------------------	---------------------

---

## 1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

This report has been prepared to provide further information in respect of the proposal and address the issues raised at the Works Inspection held 3 December 2011.

## 2. REPORT

The following issues were raised at the works inspection of 3 December 2011:

- *The lighting pole has an impact upon the heritage significance of the park.*

Comment: As stated in the heritage assessments prepared by Mayne-Wilson & Associates and Council's original report, the proposed location and form of the light pole is not located in the immediate vicinity of any specific heritage items and is not considered to have an adverse impact upon the heritage significance of the park overall.

- *Can the proposed light pole be located within the fence line? What heritage impact would this have?*

Comment: Relocating the light pole within the fence line would have a negligible impact in relation to lighting efficiency, glare, views and visual impact. However, as such structures are not traditionally located within the fencing line of ovals it is considered that it is more appropriate to be located outside the fence.

- *The location of the light pole will spoil existing views.*

Comment: The proposed location of the new light pole has been determined based on maximising lighting to the playing area of the oval whilst also minimising the visual impact upon surrounding residences and existing views of the park and beyond. The form of the pole is such that it shall not be particularly prominent and will be partially screened by existing established trees. Taking these factors into account it is considered that the impact to existing views shall be negligible and acceptable.

- *Will the light pole cater for dog walkers?*

Comment: As detailed by the Lighting Consultant on-site, the light pole is required and designed to provide appropriate lighting of the oval for use by sporting organisations. It is not proposed with the aim of providing lighting for dog walkers. Council may consider making a recommendation to the Infrastructure and Service Delivery department in relation to low-level lighting of footpaths for dog walkers.

- *If the existing storage/amenties building is demolished, a new structure shall be constructed.*

Comment: Any new structures proposed for the park would need to go through the development application process and be subject to heritage impact assessment and public consultation. Construction of a new storage structure is not proposed under the subject application.

- *Is such a structure required or is sufficient lighting provided without the erection of a further light pole.*

Comment: As detailed by the Lighting Consultant during the site meeting, the light pole will provide an increase area of the oval with lighting to comply with the relevant Australian Standard.

### **3. CONCLUSION**

For the reasons discussed above and within the original assessment report, the proposal is deemed to be acceptable and comply with the objectives of Local Environmental Plan 2000 and Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2000.

### **4. RECOMMENDATION**

That the recommendation for approval within the original assessment report be adopted.