



ORDINARY MEETING

27 May, 2008

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT AN **ORDINARY MEETING** OF THE LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL WILL BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, LEICHHARDT TOWN HALL, 107 NORTON STREET, LEICHHARDT, ON **TUESDAY, 27 May, 2008** at **7.00 pm**.

Peter Head
GENERAL MANAGER

20 May, 2008

BUSINESS:

Page

**** CONFIRMATION AND/OR CORRECTION OF
MINUTES OF ORDINARY AND SPECIAL MEETINGS**

(BOUND SEPARATELY)

- (a) Building & Development Council – 8 April 2008
- (b) Ordinary Meeting – 22 April 2008
- (c) ExtraOrdinary Meeting – 6 May 2008

**** APOLOGIES AND/OR CONDOLENCES**

**** DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTEREST**

**** PRESENTATION**

The Leichhardt Espresso Chorus will present a framed picture and acknowledgement of the Council as the gold sponsor of Carols on Norton

MAYORAL MINUTES

ITEM 1	MAYORAL MINUTES	2
ITEM 2	SUMMARY OF MAYORAL MINUTES RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	3

PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

ITEM 3	SUMMARY OF PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	6
ITEM 4	ANNANDALE NORTH PUBLIC SCHOOL - REQUEST FOR FEE WAIVER	8
ITEM 5	REQUEST FOR FEE WAIVER FOR USE OF LEICHHARDT TOWN HALL – ITALIAN BILINGUAL SCHOOL	9
ITEM 6	REQUEST FOR FEE WAIVER FOR USE OF LEICHHARDT TOWN HALL – FOOD4EVERYONE	10

GENERAL MANAGER

ITEM 7	SUMMARY OF GENERAL MANAGER RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	12
ITEM 8	COUNCIL COMMITTEES ARRANGEMENTS	14
ITEM 9	HUMPBACK ICON PROJECT - ADOPT A WHALE	20
ITEM 10	COUNCIL CONTRIBUTION TO SHAWN WALFORD, INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED CRICKET PLAYER	24
ITEM 10A	FRIENDS OF HEBRON LIBRARY EXHIBITION (REPORT TO BE FURNISHED)	

ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

ITEM 11	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	27
ITEM 12	FOOD REGULATION PARTNERSHIP	29
ITEM 13	CALLAN PARK WATERFRONT OPEN SPACE	33
ITEM 14	PLANS OF MANAGEMENT	45
ITEM 15	PROPOSED TIME LINE MULTIPLEX DEVELOPMENT TERRY STREET, WELLINGTON STREET, VICTORIA AND CRYSTAL STREET	48
ITEM 16	D/2008/203 - NORTON STREET, LEICHHARDT	51
ITEM 17	MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE	62
ITEM 18	MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES, SAFETY & FACILITIES COMMITTEE MEETING	67
ITEM 18A	M/2008/28 - 120 JOHNSTON STREET, ANNANDALE (REPORT TO BE FURNISHED)	

INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

ITEM 19	SUMMARY OF INFRASTRUCTURE & SERVICE DELIVERY RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	74
ITEM 20	MINUTES OF LOCAL TRAFFIC COMMITTEE	76
ITEM 21	PARRAMATTA RIVER CATCHMENT GROUP	77
ITEM 22	CATHERINE STREET LILYFIELD TRAFFIC CALMING & REMOVAL OF SPEED CUSHIONS	80

CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

ITEM 23	SUMMARY OF CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	87
ITEM 24	HALL HIRE WAIVER/REDUCTION FOR QUARTER ENDING SERVICES 31 MARCH 2008	89
ITEM 25	DETERMINATION OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT REMUNERATION TRIBUNAL - COUNCILLOR FEES	91
ITEM 26	LEICHHARDT PARK – PROPOSED SALE TO LE MONTAGE	94
ITEM 27	GOODS AND SERVICES TAX CERTIFICATE	98
ITEM 28	STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT BALANCES AS AT 30 APRIL 2008	101
ITEM 29	3RD QUARTERLY REVIEW - 2007/08 MANAGEMENT PLAN	104
ITEM 30	BUDGET REVIEW - QUARTER 3 2007/08	106

MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

ITEM 31	SUMMARY OF MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008	112
ITEM 32	PYRMONT CHAMBER OF COMMERCE PETITION	114
ITEM 33	LEICHHARDT COUNCIL'S RELATIONSHIP WITH MORNINGTON ISLAND	115
ITEM 34	ACCREDITATION OF LEICHHARDT COUNCIL AS A FAIR TRADE COMMUNITY	116

**

QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

**

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

The following items are listed for consideration by Council in Committee of the Whole with the public excluded, in accordance with the provisions of Section 10A (2) (d) of the Local Government Act, 1993.

**

**REPRESENTATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC TO BE CONSIDERED
AS TO THE CLOSURE OF THE MEETING**

1. TENDER FOR THE EMBELLISHMENT OF 2 – 8 WESTON STREET, EAST BALMAIN

Reason: Section 10A (2) (d) – Commercial Information of a Confidential Nature

2. TENDER FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A GYM FACILITY, INDOOR POOL AND ASSOCIATED WORKS AT THE LEICHHARDT PARK AQUATIC CENTRE

Reason: Section 10A (2) (d) – Commercial Information of a Confidential Nature

MAYORAL MINUTES

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

MAYORAL MINUTES

DIVISION: MAYORAL MINUTES

SUBJECT: ITEM 1 MAYORAL MINUTES

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**MAYORAL MINUTES**

DIVISION: MAYORAL MINUTES

SUBJECT: ITEM 2 SUMMARY OF MAYORAL MINUTES RESOLUTIONS
FROM APRIL 2008

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of Mayoral Minutes Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendation**

That the information be received and noted.

PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

DIVISION: PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

SUBJECT: ITEM 3 SUMMARY OF PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE
RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of Precis of Correspondence Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendation**

That the information be received and noted.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE**

DIVISION:	PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE
SUBJECT:	ITEM 4 ANNANDALE NORTH PUBLIC SCHOOL - REQUEST FOR FEE WAIVER
FILE REF:	F97/01222
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

Correspondence has been received from Annandale North Public School requesting a fee waiver for the use of the Leichhardt Town Hall from 5pm – 10pm on Saturday 26th July 2008 for their school trivia night.

The cost of the hall for the night is \$1,090. As a local school, they are eligible for a fee waiver.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Annandale North Public School be granted a fee waiver for the use of the Leichhardt Town Hall on Saturday 26th July 2008.
2. The standard \$500 refundable bond be paid.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE**

DIVISION:	PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE
SUBJECT:	ITEM 5 REQUEST FOR FEE WAIVER FOR USE OF LEICHHARDT TOWN HALL – ITALIAN BILINGUAL SCHOOL
FILE REF:	F97/01222
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

Correspondence has been received from the Italian Bilingual School P&C Association requesting a fee waiver for the use of Leichhardt Town Hall from 8am – Midnight on Friday the 31st October 2008 for their Halloween Disco fundraising event.

The cost of the hall for the night is \$1,035. As a local school, they are eligible for a fee waiver.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Italian Bilingual School be granted a fee waiver for the use of the Leichhardt Town Hall on Friday 31 October 2008.
2. The standard \$500 refundable bond be paid.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE**

DIVISION:	PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE
SUBJECT:	ITEM 6 REQUEST FOR FEE WAIVER FOR USE OF LEICHHARDT TOWN HALL – FOOD4EVERYONE
FILE REF:	F97/01222
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

Correspondence has been received from Margarita Parrish of 'Food4Everyone' requesting a fee waiver for the use of Leichhardt Town Hall on Saturday 1 November 2008 to hold a "Women's Business Expo" to continue to raise funds to provide 30,000 meals in Cambodia.

The cost of the Town Hall is \$575.00. Council has previously supported similar groups using our facilities for fundraisers for overseas aid and it is recommended that the fee be waived.

RECOMMENDATION

1. That 'Food4Everyone' be granted a fee waiver for their use of the Leichhardt Town Hall on Saturday 1 November 2008 for their "Women's Business Expo".
2. The standard \$500 refundable bond be paid.

GENERAL MANAGER

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: GENERAL MANAGER

SUBJECT: ITEM 7 SUMMARY OF GENERAL MANAGER
RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of General Manager Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendations**

That the information be received and noted.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: GENERAL MANAGER

SUBJECT: ITEM 8 COUNCIL COMMITTEES ARRANGEMENTS

AUTHOR & TITLE: PETER HEAD, GENERAL MANAGER

FILE REF: F08/00086

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Operational efficiencies will occur with an improved integrated planning approach

Policy Implications: Consistent with current policies for sustainability, integrated planning , democratic responsible government and good governance.

Strategic Plan Objective: A Strategy Committee will develop and review all the various Strategic Service Plans integral to the implementation and delivery of *Leichhardt 2020+*

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Community participation and input is encouraged

Other Implications: Consistent with good practice models for local government

1. Purpose of Report

To modify current committee meeting arrangements whilst still retaining the current committee system so that we can better develop/review our various *Leichhardt 2020+* Strategic Service Plans (eg Place Based Plans, Community/Cultural Plan, Affordable Housing Strategy and others) on a fully integrated basis

2. Recommendations

2.1 That Council modify its current committee meeting arrangements, subject to there being no adverse feedback from the Environment/ Recreation Committee and the Community Services, Safety and Facilities Committee, by the introduction of a **Strategy Committee** which will:

- Be comprised of all 12 councillors
- Meet monthly (usually the 3rd Tuesday of each month) initially to discuss & develop the various Strategic Service Plans integral to the implementation of Council's overarching *Leichhardt 2020+ Strategic Plan*.
- Be open to the community to attend and participate
- Have full delegation by Councillor vote after community input
- Operate in conjunction with the 3 existing committees which will continue to focus on specific projects/issues within their current terms of reference – and meeting on a bi monthly or quarterly basis as deemed appropriate by the current committees
- Be reviewed for effectiveness after the first 6 months of operation

2.2 That the Strategy Committee's first meeting be held on Tuesday 15th July 2008 where its terms of reference can initially be considered and confirmed

3. Background

To implement the objectives and strategic actions contained within *Leichhardt 2020+* there are a number of what are referred to as Strategic Service Plans which we need to review/develop. Examples of these plans are the Place Based Plans leading to a new LEP/DCP (required by 2010), the Environmental Sustainability Strategy, an Affordable Housing Strategy, a Community/Cultural Plan, Employment Lands Strategy, a Regional Transport Study & a Heritage Study (to name just a few).

Our existing committee structures aren't set up however to address all these plans on an integrated basis.

This report therefore recommends a way to resolve the problem without removing the current committee system.

Currently Council's 3 main internal standing committees apart from the technically based Traffic Committee are the:

- Community Services, Safety and Facilities Committee (meets monthly) with subcommittees :
 - Disability Policy Access Committee
 - Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Consultative Committee
 - Balmain Town Hall Committee
 - Annandale Neighbourhood Centre Committee
 - Clontarf Management Committee
 - Leichhardt Youth Council
 - Seniors Council
- Environment and Recreation Committee (meets bimonthly)
- Planning Committee (meets monthly) with one subcommittee
 - Bicycle Advisory Committee

Each committee deals with strategy, policy & specific projects within their respective terms of reference (terms of reference details at the end of this paper).

However *Leichhardt 2020 +* requires an integrated triple bottom line approach to our planning & decision making which crosses the responsibility boundaries of all 3 committees – therein suggesting when dealing with strategy and planning at this higher integrated level that the committees should be combined to avoid duplication.

The place based planning studies currently underway are a good example of this – the plans are being developed not just from a land use basis but also addressing social/cultural, economic and environmental sustainability – cutting across all 3 existing committee roles.

Other examples are the Strategic Service Plans (as mentioned above) currently or about to be prepared e.g.:

- Environmental Sustainability Strategy
- Affordable Housing Strategy
- Community/Cultural Plan
- Employment Lands Strategy
- Regional Transport Study
- Heritage Study

All of these plans require input/feedback from all 3 committees.

4. **Report**

Suggested Changes:

It's therefore suggested that a **Strategy Committee** would be more effective in dealing with issues that require a fully integrated approach to decision making – leaving the current committees to consider on an as needs basis more program specific projects or priorities within their respective responsibility roles.

A **Strategy Committee** could:

- Be comprised of all 12 councillors
- Meet monthly (on the Tuesday previously set aside for the Ward B & D which is usually the 3rd Tuesday of each month) to discuss & develop the high level strategic service plans like the place based plans (& therein replacing the originally intended councillor working group)
- Be open to the community to attend and participate
- Have no delegations but act as a forum for open discussion and feedback without decision making required by voting. All outcomes (both consensus & contrary recommendations) would be reported monthly to full council

Or (preferred option)

- Have full delegation by Councillor vote after community input and subsequent debate (ie as currently occurs for B & D and Ordinary Council)
- Consider and ensure integration of all the other Strategic Service Plans currently or about to be prepared eg :
 - Environmental Sustainability Strategy
 - Affordable Housing Strategy
 - Community/Cultural Plan
 - Employment Lands Strategy
 - Regional Transport Study
 - Heritage Study
- Operate in conjunction with the 3 existing committees which would still focus on specific projects/issues within their current terms of reference (in most cases avoiding duplication with the Strategy Committee role) - and possibly meeting on a bi monthly or quarterly basis
- Be reviewed after say 6 or 12 months of operation to see if the existing 3 committees need to be retained or the new system modified

Feedback:

- A discussion paper was considered by Councillors after the 6 May Extra Ordinary Council meeting where there was in principle support for a new Strategy Committee subject to feedback from our existing committees and key staff
- The Planning committee discussed the suggested Strategy Committee at their 8 May meeting and were supportive provided the community had opportunity to have input into the committee agenda items.
- It's intended to obtain feedback from the Environment/Recreation and Community Services/Safety/Facilities Committees at their next meetings of the 4th and 5th June respectively.

- Managers and the Senior Management Team are fully supportive of the new arrangements.

Next Steps:

- Subject to no adverse feedback, introduce the new Strategy Committee starting on Tuesday 15 July
- The 1st agenda item will be to consider and adopt the new committee's terms of reference

Draft Terms of Reference for the Strategy Committee:

- The Strategy Committee will, consistent with the principles of integrated planning and reporting, develop and review council policy and strategy and in particular council's Strategic Service Plans which are all integral to the implementation and delivery of Council's overarching *Leichhardt 2020+ Strategic Plan*.
- Guided by the local community, democratic responsible governance and sustainability values of *Leichhardt 2020+*, the Strategy Committee will initially develop and consider but not be limited to the following Strategic Service Plans :
 - Place Based Plans (for a new LEP/DCP)
 - Environmental Sustainability Strategy
 - Affordable Housing Strategy
 - Community/Cultural Plan
 - Employment Lands Strategy
 - Regional Transport Study/Strategy
 - Heritage Study/Plan

EXISTING TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE CURRENT COMMITTEES

(Note: no changes should be necessary under the proposed new arrangements)

Community Services, Safety and Facilities Committee:

- Provide a community forum for the review and development of policies relating to community services, community safety and community facilities for Leichhardt and the region.
- Develop policies, projects and initiatives that address:
 - social planning
 - facilities provision and management
 - services specifically targeting children; young people; older people; women; ATSI; CALD; people with a disability.
 - crime prevention
 - community safety
 - cultural planning
 - community building
 - community development
 - social impact assessment

The Environment and Recreation Committee :

Being guided by the principles of ecologically sustainable development and maximising local passive and active recreation opportunities, deals with, but will not be limited to, the following:

Environment Policy development on:

- Council's Sustainability Strategy
- Environmental health
- Waste minimisation and resource recovery
- Stormwater management
- Corporate Sustainability
- Trees and open space
- Landscaping
- Environmental education
- Pollution monitoring
- Biodiversity conservation and enhancement

Recreation Policy development on:

- Open space plans of management and masterplans
- Management of companion animals in open space
- Management and provision of open space, including small parks and sport fields
- Management and provision of recreational facilities
- Provision of recreational programs and services

Planning Committee:

- Provide a community forum for the review and development of strategic planning policies for Leichhardt and its regional setting.
- Develop strategic planning policies, projects and initiatives that:
 - ensure support for ongoing negotiations for review of the new Town Plan
 - develop and promote strategies that support the principles of ESD
 - support pro-active forward planning
 - improve local business development and growth
 - improve community consultation procedures and techniques,
 - improve management and practice for remediation of contaminated land,
 - provide design guidance through *Development Control Plans*,
 - achieve more diverse and affordable housing,
 - conserve and protect the area's heritage,
 - integrate local and regional *Transport Strategy* policies and initiatives,
 - achieve strategic open space objectives,
 - address rezonings of land through *Local Environmental Plans*,
 - respond to state government planning policies and strategies,
 - are consistent with policy development in other Council committees, especially the Environment Committee,
 - maintaining and improving residential amenity and the quality of life,
 - improving public access to the waterfront and encouraging sympathetic development.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: GENERAL MANAGER

SUBJECT: ITEM 9 HUMPBACK ICON PROJECT - ADOPT A WHALE

AUTHOR & TITLE: SHANE MCARDLE - MEDIA & PUBLIC AFFAIRS

FILE REF: F08/00027

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Nil

Policy Implications: Nil

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Wellbeing
A Sustainable Environment

Staffing Implications: Media & Public Affairs Officer and Community
Liaison Officer to Coordinate community
consultation

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. Purpose of Report

To update Council on the Humpback Icon Project – Adopt A Whale following the adoption of the Mayoral Minute in October 2007

2. Recommendations

1. That Council formally endorse the name BARAGA as the name for the whale adopted as part of the IFAW Humpback Icon Project;
2. That Council promote this throughout the community in the lead up to the annual whale migration in June/July and the National Whale Day 14 June 2008.

3. Background

On 5 October 2007, Council received correspondence from the International Fund for Animal Welfare (IFAW) inviting Council to adopt a whale as part of the Humpback Icon Project.

According to their invitation, the Humpback Icon Project encourages coastal councils and their communities along the Eastern and Western seaboard of Australia to celebrate the annual humpback whale migration by 'adopting' a known named/unnamed whale as their local icon. This project comes at no direct financial cost to Council.

The Humpback Icon Project helps and encourages coastal councils and their communities along the eastern and western seaboard of Australia to celebrate the annual humpback whale migration by 'adopting' a known named or unnamed whale as their local whale icon. This project also aims to establish a symbolic chain of coastal councils, each with an adopted whale as their icon, which stretches across the entire Eastern humpback whale migration route.

IFAW says that as the whales embark on their southern migration in July, they face more threats today than any time in history as the Government of Japan plans to once again add 50 humpback whales to its annual whaling target list in 2007 - one of the main species that supports a whale watching industry worth almost \$300 million a year in Australia.

Other councils around the Sydney metro region that have already joined this project include; **Randwick, Waverley, Woollahra, Willoughby, Manly, Pittwater, Warringah and Mosman** with a total of **43 Australian councils/communities** having signaled their commitment to protect whales by 'adopting' individual humpback whales and welcoming the annual humpback migration through Australian waters.

A Mayoral Minute was submitted to the October 2007 Ordinary meeting which unanimously adopted the following resolution:

That Council:

- 1. agrees to participate in the Humpback Whale Migration Icon Project;**
- 2. Consults the Aboriginal Consultative Committee and community regarding the selection of an appropriate name; and**
- 3. Publicises Council's participation in this project and promote the iconic status of the annual humpback whale migration along our ocean coastline from July.**

As per this resolution, the matter was passed to the LATSICC for consideration at its next meeting which took place on Wednesday 14 November 2007. The LATSICC resolved to support the resolution and distribute amongst its members and report back to the next meeting scheduled for 20 February 2008.

At the November meeting, Council's Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Officer, Tracey Cooley tabled the following information and after consideration, BARAGA was recommended as a name for the whale.

A report was submitted to the February 2008 Council meeting to update Council on the recommendations of the LATSICC meeting and the following resolution was adopted:

- 1. That Council endorse the recommendations from the Leichhardt Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Consultative Committee regarding the preferred name for the adopted whale;**
- 2. That Council commence community consultation regarding the preferred name or any other options the community may suggest;**
- 3. That a report be submitted to the April 2008 meeting to formally adopt a name in time for the annual whale migration in June/July.**

4. Report

In line with the above resolution, Council sent correspondence to all local schools and P&C's, sporting clubs, community organisations, churches and any other place thought suitable for consultation purposes to inform them of the project and seek feedback from them in terms of the suggested name.

To date, Council has received a couple of responses all of which support the use of Baraga as the name for the Whale.

Also, IFAW and the Humpback Icon Project have organised a National Whale Day function at the Australian National Maritime Museum on Saturday 14 June 2008 which representatives of Council have been invited to. Council should consider sending a delegation to represent the Leichhardt LGA at this event.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: GENERAL MANAGER

SUBJECT: ITEM 10 COUNCIL CONTRIBUTION TO SHAWN WALFORD,
INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED CRICKET PLAYER

AUTHOR & TITLE: GRAHAM CARNEGIE, MANAGER EMPLOYEE SERVICES

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: \$300 donation from Council 's Priority Budget

Policy Implications: Consistent with Council's policy of employment
and support of people with disabilities

Strategic Plan Objective: Accessibility

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. Purpose of Report

To gain Council approval for a donation of \$300 to assist Shawn Walford to represent NSW in the Australian Indoor Cricket Championships for players with an intellectual disability in Ipswich, Queensland from 29th June – 5th July 2008.

2. Recommendation

That Council contribute \$300 towards the costs of Shawn Walford competing in the Australian Indoor Cricket Championships in Ipswich, Queensland from 29 June – 5 July 2008.

3. Background

Shawn Walford has been employed by Leichhardt Council since 1995 in the Parks and Streetscapes section. Shawn who has an intellectual disability is a world class tennis and cricket player. He was the world champion male Tennis player in 1999, and has represented Australia in international competitions for intellectually disabled players in Czechoslovakia in 2001, in Hungary in 2003 and Sweden in 2004.

He also represented Australian in cricket for players with an intellectual disability in the Tri Nations Cricket Series in England in June 2007.

4. Report

Shane has been selected to represent NSW in the Australian Indoor Cricket Championships which will be held in Ipswich, Queensland this year. In order to compete, Shawn and his family must raise the money to cover the costs of his travel and accommodation. Shawn's father Ray Walford who also worked for council is an active fundraiser, however he is unable to raise the full amount needed. It is estimated that the players will need to raise in excess of \$1,550. Council has supported Shawn in the past and it is felt it should continue to do so.

5. Summary/Conclusions

It is Council's policy to assist people with a disability to achieve their potential in life whether it be through employment, recreation or other initiatives. This request to assist our employee is consistent with those objectives and provides a model and example to all people with a disability.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: ITEM 11 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND
COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL
2008

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of Environmental and Community Management Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendations**

That the information be received and noted.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT****DIVISION:** ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT**SUBJECT:** ITEM 12 FOOD REGULATION PARTNERSHIP**AUTHOR & TITLE:** PAUL VOGT- MANAGER COMPLIANCE**FILE REF:** F08/**DATE:** 3 August 2018**WORD PROCESSING REF:** G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC**DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS**

Financial Implications:	No additional funding required Fees and charges will provide a source of revenue for education and regulatory work.
Policy Implications:	Development of a food surveillance strategy.
Strategic Plan Objective:	To facilitate the reduction of food borne illness within the Municipality. To promote and maintain safe food handling practices in all food premises within the Municipality.
Staffing Implications:	Existing staff to carry out function
Notifications:	Food Shop operators to be informed of introduction of NSW Food Authority Partnership and its implications as it effects them and Council.
Other Implications:	N/A

1. Purpose of Report

To provide Council with a brief overview of the new NSW Food Authority Partnership scheme and to outline the responsibilities associated with Council nominating its participation in one of the three categories within the scheme.

2. Recommendation

That Council adopt a Category B nomination in the Partnership scheme requiring Council to be involved in food premises approvals, emergency responses, urgent food recalls, retail food shop inspections, investigation of complaints and enforcement action.

3. Report

3.1 Background

In April 2005 the NSW Government, through the NSW Food Authority announced the establishment of a NSW Food Regulation Partnership between the NSW Food Authority and Local Government. The Partnership has for the first time seen the role of Local Government in the Food industry mandated in legislation.

Amendments to the NSW Food Act 2003 and Food Regulation Partnership have been made as a consequence of the new partnership. The amendments to the act will now provide Council with a more mandated role which clearly states their responsibilities in the retail and food service sector.

The aim of the partnership is to ensure that a uniform approach is adopted by Local Council's to deliver safer food through a smarter use of combined resources.

Council has now been invited to nominate its preferred category of function which details its level of food regulation activity in the retail food service sector.

3.2 Function Categories

The NSW Food Regulation Partnership is anticipated to commence on the 1 July 2008, and Council is now required to nominate a 'Function Category' which outlines the Council's responsibilities with respect to food safety regulation.

The three Categories (A, B & C) and their functions are listed below.

Category A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food premises approvals; • Emergency response; and • Urgent food recalls.
Category B	<p><i>Category A, plus:</i></p> <p>For retail and food service business:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Premises inspections; • Investigating complaints; and <p>Enforcement action.</p>
Category C	<p><i>Category A & B, plus:</i></p> <p>Any other role negotiated directly between Council's and the NSW Food Authority, for example (but not limited to):</p> <p>Premises inspections for manufacturers.</p>

It is recommended that Council nominate itself for the Category B functions as we are already operating at a Category 'B' level of service. Further, it is considered that the level of service be maintained as a Category 'B' since there would be no adverse impacts on Council's resources or additional costs incurred.

As part of the Category B Partnership agreement, Council will have the power to recover the costs of providing its food regulation function. A new annual administration fee will be charged to all food businesses as well as a new fee for the issuing of Improvement Notices. The NSW Food Authority has recommended a maximum fee to be charged for annual administration and inspections. Based on the fees and charges set by the Food Authority, Council proposes to charge the following fees:-

Inspection Fee:	\$130.00
Annual Administration Fee:	\$250.00
Fee for issuing Improvement Notice:	\$320.00

As a result of the new mandated charges it is anticipated that Council will receive the following income:-

Annual Administration Charge of \$250 x 434 premises	\$108,500.00
A minimum of 1 inspection fee of \$130 x 434 premises	\$ 56,420.00

The total income that may be derived under the new mandated fees schedule will be approximately \$164,920.00 as opposed to \$56,420.00 that Council currently receives for food shop inspections. Additional income will be generated depending on the number of inspections carried out on any individual premise. Should Council undertake the minimum of 822 inspections in accordance with the inspection frequency indicated by the NSW Food Authority the projected income will increase to \$215,360.00.

3.3 Inspection Frequency

The NSW Food Authority has recommended inspection frequencies for all retail food businesses and the inspection frequency is based on the risks associated with the preparation of food.

Council has currently 434 registered food premises in the area which are inspected during each financial period. Of the 434 registered premises, 403 are considered to be high risk, 16 medium risk and 15 low risk. The inspection frequency under the partnership indicates that high risk business are required to be inspected bi-annually while medium risk are to be inspected annually and low risk will only be inspected if a incident occurs. Therefore, Council's Food Safety Officer will be required to undertake a minimum of 822 inspections for any given financial period. These inspections do not take into consideration the number of re-inspections and inspections relating to the investigation of complaints.

3.4 Mandatory Reporting

Furthermore, under the partnership, Council is required to provide six monthly (bi-annually) reports to the Food Authority advising them of its food regulation activities undertaken within the specified period. Information that needs to be included in the report include items such as number of high, medium and low risk food businesses, number of inspections undertaken, number of complaints received and investigated and the amount of enforcement action carried out. The NSW Food Authority will publish on their website a summary of reports submitted from each Council.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT
SUBJECT: ITEM 13 CALLAN PARK WATERFRONT OPEN SPACE
AUTHOR & TITLE: AARON CALLAGHAN – SENIOR RECREATION PLANNER
FILE REF: F99/00001-17
DATE: 3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Potential costs associated with the upgrade and maintenance of playing fields and foreshores

Policy Implications: Nil

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Well-being
Place where we live and work
A sustainable environment
Accessibility

Staffing Implications: Continuing staff resources required

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1.0 Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide Council with an update on discussions between the Sydney Harbour Foreshore Authority, the University of Sydney and Leichhardt Council in respect of the possible future care, control and management of the waterfront open space network within Callan Park. The discussions have been based on Council's submission in respect of the Draft Landuse Plan and relate specifically to the future care, control and management of the Iron Cove Bay Run (*within Callan Park*), Callan Point, the Waterfront Drive playing fields, the Glover Street playing fields and the Veterans site.

The report includes an "in principal" agreement which has been drafted by Sydney University and Council officers in relation to the future care, control and management of the Waterfront Open Space Network in the event that shared access, care, control and management is mandated by the State Government.

2.0 Recommendations

1. That in the event that Council is not granted total care, control and management of the Waterfront Open Space, Council endorses an "in principal" position with the University of Sydney on the future care control and management of the Callan Park the Waterfront Open Space Network, namely:
 - a) Subject to no reduction in the area set aside for sporting and recreational purposes on the University of Sydney Camperdown Campus
 - i. Leichhardt Council to have total care, control and management of the existing Waterfront Oval, the Glover Street Oval, Callan Point and the Bay Run in accordance with its original submission on the Land Use Plan as it relates to the Waterfront Open Space Network
 - ii. Sydney University having total care, control and management responsibility for the construction and use of the proposed Oval/s on the Veterans site together with the playing area fronting Balmain Road
 - b) Where possible both the University of Sydney and Leichhardt Council to make time available on the respective ovals for University of Sydney students and the general community. However this would be at the absolute discretion of Council (for the Waterfront/Glover Street Ovals) and the University (for the Veterans Oval/s and Balmain Road area)
 - c) The University of Sydney to consider contributing funds towards construction of the Bay Run once firmer details are available in relation to funding demands and likely student patronage.
 - d) Plans of Management will be required to determine actual future uses of the entire Waterfront Open Space Network area including the playing fields. The SHFA, as the land owner, will need to be directly involved in the development of the Plans of Management. In this regard both Council and the University of Sydney agree that parking and vehicular access will be major considerations.

2. That Council request further discussions with the SHFA on the “in principal” agreement which has been reached between Leichhardt Council and the University of Sydney.

3.0 Report

3.1 Callan Park Act 2002

Following community opposition to NSW Health’s draft Masterplan the *Callan Park (Special Provisions) Act* was passed in 2002. The main elements of the *Callan Park Act* can be summarised as follows: -

- to ensure that Callan Park remains in public ownership
- to preserve the areas of open space at Callan Park - extending to the foreshore of Iron Cove on the Parramatta River, that were in existence immediately before the commencement of this Act
- to allow public access to active and passive open space
- to preserve the heritage significance of Callan Park, including its historic buildings, gardens and other landscape features
- to impose appropriate controls on the future development of Callan Park
- to preclude the sale of Callan Park
- to permit a lease of, or a licence of a building, part of a building or land within Callan Park
- to permit a trust to undertake that care, control and management of Callan Park
- to define and permit - with development consent, the use of Callan Park for the purpose of health facilities, educational or community facilities
- to prohibit development for the purpose of a retirement village
- to prohibit the erection of Buildings outside the footprints or building envelopes of the buildings that existed immediately before the commencement of this Act
- to prohibit development on Callan Park that would result in: -
 - less open space
 - an increase in the total floor area of all buildings that existed at Callan Park immediately before the commencement of this Act
 - development that adversely affects the Broughton Hall, Charles Moore or Kirkbride Gardens

3.2 Recreation and Open Space Strategy

In 2004 Leichhardt Council commissioned Stratcorp Consulting to undertake a Recreation and Open Space Needs Study for the Leichhardt Local Government Area. The study adopted in December 2005 provided direction for an integrated approach to open space and recreation planning and management for the next 10 years.

The Recreation and Open Space Needs Study audited all existing open space and recreational facilities, programs and services within the municipality, and their existing and potential capabilities in meeting current and future needs of the community.

The study also provided Council with a better understanding of the open space and recreation needs of its community and an informed ability to determine appropriate priorities for the acquisition of new and/or improved open space opportunities and the provision of future active, passive and sporting recreational facilities and programs.

3.2.1 Priorities issues identified by the study include: -

A) **Open Space Deficiency.** Leichhardt has significant deficiencies in open space provision. Leichhardt has an open space ratio of 1.65 ha /1,000 people. The national standard is benchmarked at 2.8 /1,000 people. Compared to other Local authorities open space provision within Leichhardt is low: -

Marrickville at 1.5 ha/1000 people
Leichhardt at 1.65 ha /1,000 people
 Waverley at 1.80 ha / 1,000 people
 Sydney City 2.36 ha / 1,000 people
 North Sydney at 2.60 ha / 1,000 people
 Strathfield at 3.8 ha / 1,000 people
 Canada Bay at 3.87 ha / 1,000 people
 Lane Cove at 4.64 ha / 1,000 people
 Ryde at 6.70 ha / 1,000 people

B) **Recreation Facilities.** A key requirement of Council is to provide a range of open space settings, recreational facilities and opportunities.

Current deficiencies include shortages in provision for:

- Rugby Fields - 1 additional field required (benchmark Target 7 Fields)
- Soccer Fields - 9 Additional fields required (benchmark Target 16 Fields)
- Tennis courts - 21 Additional courts required (benchmark Target 32 Courts)

C. **School Facilities.** There is low provision of sports and recreation facilities at education facilities. Schools within the LGA rely largely on the provision of open space for the conduct of their active recreational programmes from Council.

D. **Strategic Alliances.** Council needs to work with the State Government and other managers/owners of Publicly owned land (e.g. Callan Park, the Rail Corridor and the Harbour Foreshore) will be important to help resolve current and likely future shortages in open space for both passive recreational pursuits and sporting activities.

E. **Linear Links.** Council needs to continue its work in the establishment of strategic linear links, a critical element in ensuring that the community is mobile, well connected and safe.

F. **Health and Fitness.** There is demand within the LGA for a new public health and fitness gymnasium and associated facilities which should be included as part of a future new indoor sports and recreation centre within the LGA. Consideration should be given to the inclusion of a new multi court indoor sport and recreation centre in the Leichhardt LGA.

G. **Hockey.** Develop a new wet hocky pitch on Leichhardt Number 2 (This comes with provisions).

3.2.2 Community Consultation

The Recreation and Needs Study completed in (2005) included public consultation and broad community input into the strategy planning process. A comprehensive approach was adopted for the consultation process, which was designed to ensure that a wide cross section of people and interested parties were given the opportunity to provide input into the future planning and development of recreation services for the Leichhardt LGA. The consultation phase incorporated a number of different techniques to interact with residents, clubs, other organised groups, and Council personnel.

This work was facilitated through the following consultative processes:

- Household Telephone Survey
- Resident Infield Surveys.
- Community Submissions
- Councillor Forum
- Community Forums with identified target groups including, older adults, young people, people with a disability and their carers, Council planning staff, Council park maintenance staff, the Manager of the Leichhardt Park Aquatic Centre. Youth Service Providers.

3.3 University of Sydney Memorandum of Understanding

In June 2007, a non-binding Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) was signed by the Minister for Planning, the Sydney Harbour Foreshore Authority and the University of Sydney in relation to the future care and control of a large area of the site. The main elements of the MoU can be summarised as follows: -

- The parties acknowledge the provisions of the *Callan Park Act*.
- The parties acknowledge the current tenancies and lessees at Callan Park
- Subject to agreement being reached with the University, the Minister would procure a grant of a 99 year lease to the University for a substantial and coherent part of Callan Park.
- Rights to the public for pedestrian access through the site similar to Camperdown campus
- The University would have control of the sporting fields, but subject always to the University's reasonable requirements for use of the playing fields, would permit Leichhardt Council and the public the use of the fields.

- SHFA to be responsible for the preparation of a Masterplan for the site
- A building audit and an audit of public infrastructure and public open space to be undertaken in undertaking the Masterplan

3.4 Callan Park draft Land Use Plan (SHFA)

Under the MoU, SHFA was given the responsibility of preparing a master plan for the site which is in accordance with the *Callan Park (Special Provisions) Act 2002*. SHFA commissioned private consultants Hassell to produce the Masterplan. The Masterplan has since evolved into a Land Use Plan

The Land Use Plan aims to provide a framework to guide future uses, development and management of Callan Park. The Land Use Plan proposes retention of open space, additional playing fields, the expansion of University of Sydney's presence on the site and a continuing health presence through the creation of a non-government organisation precinct and the retention of the NSW Ambulance Service headquarters.

The Land Use Plan establishes eight precincts within Callan Park and identifies a variety of design guidelines that will guide future development and land uses for each. *Figures 5.1* and *5.2* have been extracted from the Land Use Plan and illustrate the various precinct areas included within the Land Use Plan.

Figures 5.1 and 5.2 Land Use Plan- Precincts within Callan Park



Precincts

1. Waterfront
2. Kirkbride Curtilage
3. Parkland Campus
4. Non-Government Organisation
5. Church/Glover Street
6. Wharf Road
7. NSW Ambulance
8. Nurses

Figure 5.1



Figure 5.2



(Source –Callan Park Land Use Plan)

3.5 Extraordinary Council Meeting February 2008

At its Extraordinary Meeting on the 19th February 2008 Council considered a report in relation to the Draft Landuse Plan for Callan Park and resolved in part as follows:

“2c) Council rejects the Callan Park Land use Plan for the following reasons:

- i. The implementation of the plan is predicated upon the relocation of public services for the mentally ill away from the current Callan Park location
- ii. The bulk of the site would be used by the University of Sydney, rather than as a psychiatric hospital
- iii. The Land Use Plan proposes a substantial increase in floor space which is opposed by Leichhardt Council. This will result in unacceptable overdevelopment of Callan Park and will require amendments to the Callan Park Act, a bill that was enacted in 2002 and the culmination of significant community consultation process.
- iv. The draft plan contains no provision for a Trust with strong local representation to manage Callan Park
- v. The lack of detail provided in respect of key issues such as Integrated and Long Term Management of the Entire Site; The Restoration and/or on going Maintenance of Critical Heritage Items; Transport, Traffic & Parking; Built Form and the site's capacity to absorb development of the level proposed
- vi. The lack of detailed information about the proposed University of Sydney campus, including the proposed faculties and demographic profiles of students.
- vii. The consultation undertaken did not allow meaningful input into the process or the following reasons:
 - A) The brief to Consultants for the Land Use Plan was to allow the University to expand its current activities on the Callan Park site” and therefore did not allow other proposals, including the retention and upgrade of the psychiatric hospital to be considered.
 - B) The “Community” Reference Panel was predominately composed of state bureaucrats and not of community members. Many key community stakeholders were excluded from panel membership.
 - C) The little consultation that did occur was rushed and dismissive of those who objected to the consultant's proposals.
- viii. The draft plan fails to ensure Council care, control and management of the foreshores and sporting fields, thereby potentially restricting public access to much needed open space
- ix. The draft plan will result in adverse impacts on site in terms of pedestrian permeability, views, vegetation, heritage buildings and gardens
- x. Traffic and parking increases will impact upon nearby residential areas
- xi. It is premature to include the Glover and Church Street Precinct (Precinct 5) in a long term lease to the University of Sydney due to

the uncertainty of plans for the university and the current and future need for public mental health facilities.

- xii. The Land Use Plan carves up Callan Park into 8 Precincts each of which it is proposed will be leased. Callan Park should not be carved up. It is and should remain one integrated site. Callan Park needs one management structure for the whole site and a guarantee of public ownership in perpetuity.
- xiii. Council calls on the Government to continue the public consultation process until there is sufficient information available for an informed decision to be made on the proposal.

(d) notwithstanding point 2(c) above

- i. there are considerable community, social and recreational benefits – supported by relevant research, to be derived from the transfer of the waterfront open space to Leichhardt Council for future care, control and management
- ii. the proposed mix of community, cultural, health and education uses in the Land Use Plan, is consistent with the provisions of the Callan Park Act 2002
- iii. the re-location University of Sydney faculties to the site could introduce a number of social, cultural and economic benefits to the area and could reinforce the current cultural and arts focus of the Rozelle/Lilyfield area, however the draft Land Use Plan does not provide sufficient information to enable a fully informed assessment of the merits or otherwise of the proposal
- iv. Council Officers are on call to meet with representatives of the Sydney Harbour Foreshore Authority to address the issues identified in Council's report and submission"

3.6 Callan Park Open Space Network

The SHFA was presented with two proposals in respect of the future care, control and management of the Waterfront Open Space Network. One from Leichhardt Council for care, control and management of the sports playing fields along the waterfront zone, the bay run and Callan Point. Council's proposal also included redevelopment of the Veteran's housing site as open space and linking this to the Waterfront Drive and Glover Street open space areas, once it became available – i.e. consistent with Council's adopted position in February.

A second proposal, submitted by the University of Sydney requested that the University is granted care control and management of the playing field areas including Balmain Road and that times are set aside outside of University use for community use and access.

The open space network, its access, management, care and control is a primary concern to Council. Council's Recreation and Needs Study 2005 identifies significant deficiencies in recreation provision and access to sporting facilities within the Leichhardt LGA.

The Recreation and Open Space Needs Study advocates working with the State Government and other government organisations to identify opportunities for new community open space. This includes advocating for community sporting access to the playing fields at Callan Park. The Recreation and Open Spaces Need Study (2005) states:

“Whilst some opportunities exist to increase the total area of public open space through Council’s continued program of strategic land acquisition and the development of new open space areas in residential subdivisions, it is unlikely that the ratio of available open space per 1,000 people will increase significantly in the short to medium term. This is one reason why Council should continue to advocate for the retention of the open space areas within Callan Park, and for the responsibility for their management to be transferred to Council, subject to suitable financial arrangements being negotiated between Council and the State Government prior to any transfer”

Sydney University also has genuine and specified needs in relation to the provision of outdoor sporting facilities for future students on a developed University campus at Callan Park. This need includes the provision of soccer, rugby, athletics, hockey and other sports facilities.

3.7 Discussions with the University of Sydney

In the last three months SHFA, in discussions with both Council officers and the University of Sydney has requested that discussions be held between the two parties to discuss common needs and work towards compromises (where possible) in relation to the long term access, care, control and management of the open space network at Callan Park.

Formal discussions between Council officers and the University of Sydney were commenced in late February 2008. Initial discussions focussed on both parties core needs and the submissions which had been lodged with SHFA in relation to the Landuse Plan.

From the initial discussions the University of Sydney engaged Glen Scott of BVN consultancy to assess the various proposals prepared by Council and assist the parties in developing options for the open space precinct. The consultant produced a number of options for consideration which included a mix of playing fields to meet differing user needs. This included a synthetic hockey field being developed on the Veterans site (rather than on Leichhardt no 2 field). Council officers analysed this work and held further meetings with the University and their consultants to discuss various other options, time shared arrangements in relation to playing field access and further specified details on community recreational needs. This included the issue of Glebe hockey and their need for development space for a water based all weather synthetic surface.

3.8 In Principal Position

Council’s adopted position for total care, control and management of the Waterfront Open Space was consistently maintained as the starting point in all discussions. However in order to address SHFA’s request to consider options for shared access arrangements and following a number of meetings, an "in principle" position was recently reached by both Council staff and representatives of the University of Sydney whereby:

1. Subject to no reduction in the area set aside for sporting and recreational purposes on the University of Sydney Camperdown Campus, Leichhardt Council would have total control of the existing Waterfront Oval, the Glover Street Oval, Callan Point and the Bay Run in accordance with its original submission on the Land Use Plan for the waterfront recreational area including the sporting fields
2. Sydney University would have total control and responsibility for the construction and use of the proposed Oval/s on the Veterans site together with the playing area fronting Balmain Road
3. Where possible both the University of Sydney and Leichhardt Council would make available time on the respective ovals for University of Sydney students and the general community but this would be at the absolute discretion of Council (for the Waterfront/Glover Street Ovals) and the University (for the Veterans Oval/s and Balmain Road area)
4. Sydney University to consider contributing funds towards construction of the Bay Run.
5. Plans of Management will be required to determine actual future uses of the entire foreshore area including the playing fields and SHFA, as the land owner, would need to be directly involved in the development of these documents. In this regard both Council and the University of Sydney agree that parking and vehicular access will be major considerations.

In discussions with the University of Sydney, Council officers stressed that all of the above proposals were subject to confirmation or otherwise by Council.

On the 7th May 2008 Council staff were informed that the above position was acceptable to Sydney University and that formal correspondence (refer to appendix 1) had been submitted to SHFA from the University of Sydney. Council officers have also requested that Council be fully engaged on all future discussions on this issue. To date no formal response has been received from SHFA on the “in principal” position.

4.0 Conclusions

The “in principal” position and agreement provides for Council care, control and management of the waterfront open space network within Callan Park. The “in principal” position also assists in addressing a number of strategic objectives outlined in the Council’s adopted Recreation and Open Spaces Needs Study 2005. In particular

- Additional sporting fields
- Additional sport and recreation facilities for LGA schools
- Additional linear links
- Strategic alliances other stakeholders
- Additional facilities for passive recreation and the promotion of health, fitness and community well being.

Environmentally, new open space will also benefit the community by providing further opportunities not only for a wide range of recreational opportunities but also for biodiversity improvements and environmental education. Council's care, control and management of this area would be beneficial to the community at large and in this respect the "in principal agreement" can be viewed as a positive outcome for Council.

The future development of the veteran's site as open space area would be an expensive undertaking and while principally benefiting the University of Sydney this would also provide the community with potential access to new recreational facilities in the form of an all weather artificial surface. Options for further investigation in this area including the provision of a second synthetic hockey pitch which could have regional sporting benefits is worthy of further investigation.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT
SUBJECT: ITEM 14 PLANS OF MANAGEMENT
AUTHOR & TITLE: AARON CALLAGHAN - SENIOR RECREATION PLANNER
FILE REF: F08/00087-1
DATE: 3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: A budget of \$60,000 is required annually to fund the development of Plans of Management for community parkland.

Policy Implications: Nil

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Well-being
Place where we live and work
A sustainable environment
Accessibility

Staffing Implications: Work will continue to be managed in house by Council's Parks and Recreation Planning team.

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1.0 Purpose of Report

To provide Council with an update in respect of Plans of Management for Council Land and outline a proposed program of works to complete all outstanding Plans of Management.

2.0 Recommendations

3. That the report be received and noted
4. That the priority list for preparing Plans of Management as outlined in Appendix C be endorsed.

3.0 Report

3.1 Local Government Act 1993

Under Section 36 of the Local Government Act 1993, Council's are required to develop Plans of Management for all community land under their care, control and management. A plan of management may apply to one or more areas of community land (a generic plan) or to just one area (a specific plan).

Plans of Management can also be developed for Crown Land under the care, control and management of a Council but these are subject to approval and determination by the Minister of Lands upon their adoption by a Council.

3.2 Leichhardt Council

Plans of Management are developed in house by Council's Recreation Planning Unit. Policy development, planning objectives and community consultation is also undertaken in-house. From time to time Council also engages consultants to assist with detailed design issues that arise during community consultation.

Currently Leichhardt Council manages a diverse range of parks and open spaces which have a multitude of differing community uses and users. A total of 8% of the available land area within the Leichhardt Local government area is set aside for public recreational use.

Open spaces, including parks, playgrounds, amenity green space and foreshore reserves provide opportunities for a range of formal and informal leisure, passive and active sport, recreation and play.

Within the LGA there are currently ten open space sites which are set aside for formal recreation and organised sport. Leichhardt also has a multitude of neighbourhood parks and foreshore reserves which also enjoy a high level of community use.

3.3 Current and Future Plans of Management

Plans of Management:

- Are written by Council in consultation with the community

- Identify the important features of the land
- Clarify how Council will manage the land, and in particular
- Indicate how the land may be used or developed.

Plans of Management contain information about the history of a site as well as practical information relating to physical and legal descriptions, proposed Council policies for managing and maintaining a park, as well as detailed conceptual plans for proposed development within the park.

Plans of Management should be regularly reviewed to ensure that they are up to date and responsive to community expectations, needs and changing trends in recreational provision. In this regard it is common practise to review plans of management every ten years. Where existing plans are in place Council can either determine to adaptively modify and publicly consult on proposed changes or commence planning works for a new plan of management. Currently staff are completing on average two park Plans of Management per year.

The process for preparing Plans of Management is attached in **Appendix A**.

A status list of the Plans of Management completed, pending review or completed by suburb is attached in **Appendix B**.

The current priority list for completing or reviewing plans of management is attached in **Appendix C**.

4.0 Conclusions

Plans of Management provide strategic direction for Council and the community in relation to the long term management, development and maintenance of public open space. As such Plans of Management are a valuable community resource in guiding the development and long term management of community assets.

Where possible, Plans of Management should be regularly reviewed to ensure that they are reflective of community needs and aspirations.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: ITEM 15 PROPOSED TIME LINE MULTIPLEX
DEVELOPMENT TERRY STREET, WELLINGTON STREET,
VICTORIA AND CRYSTAL STREET

AUTHOR & TITLE: PETER CONROY DIRECTOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND
COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

FILE REF: F06/00067

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Nil, will be funded from within existing Budget Allocations

Policy Implications: Will influence future Residential, Retail, Employment Land and Urban Design Policy in respect of the Study Area and Surrounds

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Well-being
Accessibility
Place Where We Live & Work
A Sustainable Environment
Business in the Community
Sustainable Services & Assets

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. **Purpose of Report**

The provide Council with the details of the proposed timeline for the completion of a Land Use Strategy in respect of the land bounded by Terry Street, Wellington Street and Victoria Road, Rozelle – which includes the Multiplex land holding in Terry Street Rozelle.

2. **Recommendations**

That the report be received and noted

3.0 **Report**

3.1 **Background**

On 5 November 2007, Multiplex Developments submitted a revised proposal to Council. Council subsequently received additional information in relation to traffic and retail issues demonstrating that the Multiplex proposal would result in a deterioration of the traffic network and impact on the retail vibrancy of nearby commercial centres.

At its meeting 22 April, 2008 Council considered a report in respect of proposal submitted by Multiplex Developments and resolved not to prepare a draft local environmental plan for – Refer Item 8G Resolution C108/08

In considering this matter Council also resolved in part as follows:

- “2. Council authorise the Director Environmental and Community Management to engage the services of appropriate architectural and urban design advisor’s to work with Council/llors and the community in the development of guidelines for the Multiplex and adjoining sites including:
 - a. confirming the subject site and defining its context
 - b. setting some clear objectives in relation to matters such as:
 - i. design, bulk and scale
 - ii. environmental performance
 - iii. housing affordability
 - iv. car parking
 - v. traffic and pedestrian movements
 - vi. public domain
 - vii. public benefits of the rezoning
 - viii. sustainability.
3. That a report be submitted to the May Ordinary meeting outlining the timeline for this matter”

3.2 Proposed Timeline

The following timeline is suggested in respect of the Land Use Strategy for the land bounded by Terry Street, Wellington Street and Victoria Road, Rozelle.

Number	Date	Activity
1	May/June	Select Architectural / Urban Design Advisor
2	3 June	Extraordinary Council Meeting – Balmain Leagues Club
3	June/July	Council Staff to contact Landowners: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> advising them of Council's intention to prepare a Land Use Strategy introducing the Architectural / Urban Design Advisor
4	June/July	Architectural / Urban Design Advisor to:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collect Data – e.g. ownership and topography Inspect site Develop appreciation of site characteristics, surrounds and context
5	July/August	Architectural / Urban Design Advisor to conduct: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Councillor Workshop Community Workshop <p>To identify issues and views in relation to matters such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Context Site Constraints Site Objectives
6	August/September	Interactive workshop with Councillors to provide feedback from workshops and discuss <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Context Site Constraints Site Objectives
6	August/September	Draft Report

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: ITEM 16 D/2008/203 - NORTON STREET, LEICHHARDT

AUTHOR & TITLE: KERRY HUNT BUILDING COORDINATOR ASSESSMENTS

FILE REF: D/2008/203

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

ORDINARY COUNCIL

MAY 2008



Development Application No.	➤ D/2008/203
Address	➤ Norton Street, LEICHHARDT NSW 2040
Description of Development	<p>➤ Guinness Book of Records attempt for the world's longest line of Pizzas. A community and cultural event to be held as part of 'Viva Leichhardt' on Sunday 8th June 2008 on Norton Street between Marion Street and Allen Street.</p> <p>Road closures will operate from 6am to 4 pm. The judging of the record attempt will take place at 1pm. Street entertainment, childrens rides, and charity fundraising will be part of the event.</p>
Date of Receipt	➤ 7 May 2008
Applicant's Details	<p>➤ Leichhardt Chamber Of Commerce PO BOX 130 CAMPERDOWN NSW 2050</p>
Advertised Dates	➤ 21/5/08 to 4/6/08
Number of Submissions	➤ Nil at time of report drafting
Main Issues	<p>➤ Road closure from 6am to 4pm</p> <p>➤ Community event as part of 'Viva Leichhardt'</p> <p>➤ Waiving of fees and support in kind from Council</p>
Recommendation	➤ Approval subject to conditions when submission period has ended
Attachment A	➤ Plans of proposal

1. PROPOSAL

The applicant seeks consent for Guinness Book of Records attempt for the world's longest line of Pizzas as part of the 'Viva Leichhardt' community and cultural event held on Sunday 8th June 2008 on Norton Street between Marion Street and Allen Street.

Road closures will operate from 6am to 4 pm. The judging of the record attempt will take place at 1pm. Street entertainment, childrens rides, and charity fundraising will be part of the event.

"Viva Leichhardt" is an event that promotes Norton Street as a venue for Italian culture and eating.

The Guinness Book of Records attempt is being sponsored by Costa Cruises and the attempt is to be 188 metres long and contain approximately 630 pizzas. A catering company is being engaged to ensure output of cooked pizzas for the record attempt, as the pizzas will take at least 3 hours to produce. Local restaurants and cafes will also be encouraged to produce the pizzas and other food for the crowds. Pizza making will be part of the entertainment.

Specifically, the proposal includes the following:

- Erection of a marquee
- Stalls for promotional material and local shops, cafes and restaurants
- A long line of trestle tables set up from outside the Town Hall to just past MacCauley Street.
- Portable toilets in Carlisle Street.
- Waste bins and road closures provided by Council.
- Traffic management plan similar to Festa
- Street entertainment, children's rides and other entertainment to be part of the event.

Due to health and food safety regulations the pizzas used for the record attempt cannot be sold. Consideration has been given to donating the pizzas to charity for distribution but this is still being negotiated. NSW Food Authority has guidelines about the donation of food and the organisers need to advise the Food Authority if negotiations mean that the pizzas will be donated. Other pizzas will be available for sale on the day and will be produced and sold in accordance with the requirements of the Food Act.

The record attempt will be judged at 1pm and the expected crowd size is up to 5000 people. The event is being sponsored by Carnival Australia as engaged by the Leichhardt Chamber of Commerce and promotion of their products and branding will be part of the event. Public liability for the event is to be held by the Leichhardt Chamber of Commerce and the catering company.

The application is being determined by Council due to the nature of the event in the public interest.

2. SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is Norton Street, with road closures to operate between Marion Street and Allen Street. The event will commence outside the Town Hall and extend to Allen Street with all side streets blocked to traffic.

3. SITE HISTORY

Norton Street is used for Festa each year although this year's event has been delayed until later in the year. Similar traffic management measures used for Festa will be implemented for this event.

4. ASSESSMENT

The following is a summary of the assessment of the application in accordance with Section 79C of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979.

4.1 Environmental Planning Instruments

The application has been assessed against the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments listed below:

- State Environmental Planning Policy - Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment 2007
- Local Environmental Plan 2000

Before granting consent comprising the use of a building a place of public entertainment the consent authority must consider the following matters in accordance with State Environmental Planning Policy – Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment.

The maximum number of persons permitted to be in any part of the building used for public entertainment at any one time and how that number should be monitored.

It is considered that the street and surrounds can be used effectively. There is no direct use of a building as the marquee will be used by the caterers for the preparation of the pizzas and the cooking of the pizzas.

The use of the street and surrounds does not raise significant concerns for public health and safety. The ability of the venue to cater for public entertainment events is considered satisfactory. Crowd control will be provided by volunteers.

The number of people likely to attend the event is difficult to determine and will rely on advertising and interest from the local community and the weather on the day. The organisers anticipate up to 5000 people may be attracted to the event. Festa often attracted greater numbers and subject to crowd controls, road closure management, parking and other matters the surrounding area is able to cater for these numbers.

As the street, surrounding footpaths and areas are to be used and the entertainment is contained within an outdoor venue, the number of persons to be accommodated is not as crucial and is not calculated in the same way as a building such as a club or licensed venue.

The principles for minimising crime risk set out in Part B of the Crime Prevention Guidelines.

Surveillance

As this is a public event, the organisers will be required to engage the services of a security presence as provided by volunteers and advise the Police of the event. It is a local event likely to attract local residents and families. The style of event is not anticipated to attract security issues however the organisers risk management plan will need to address any eventuality which may arise.

Risks may be associated with crowd surge, opportunist and or organised crime however the presence of uniformed volunteers and Police will act as a deterrent.

A Risk Assessment Review will need to be submitted with application adopting the above concerns.

Access control

The event will attract local residents who will access the event by public transport and walking to the event. Local streets will be used for parking and no standing zones will operate to ensure that traffic flows are maintained.

Such events bring a crowd and this presents an element of risk, however, this can be minimised by the presence of uniformed volunteers and control of the numbers of persons arriving throughout the event and leaving throughout the event. The major area of concern is the judging when excitement should be at a peak and possible crowd surge when the pizzas will be able to be eaten , if needed the organisers could arrange for volunteers for crowd control, at this point.

A Risk Assessment Review and Traffic Management Plan will be submitted with application addressing the above concerns.

Territorial reinforcement

Norton Street and surrounds are a well defined public space surrounded by residential areas and commercial premises including public buildings. The majority of the area will be accessible to the public. Access to Norton Street will be available for all and the event is a family orientated public and community event. Police will be advised of the event.

Space management

It is anticipated that the crowds will be well managed within the space and along the street. Volunteers will be used to ensure good flow of pedestrians around the area and Council staff will be on hand to ensure that no congestion will occur.

Limited parking in the surrounding streets may be an issue, however, the gradual build up of the crowd through the morning to culminate in the judging at 1pm will ensure a staggered build up as will people leaving as it is hoped that many will stay on to enjoy the cafes, restaurants and shops of the precinct .

Risk Management

The applicant needs to complete Council's pro forma on risk assessment for the event, the Caterers and the Leichhardt Chamber of Commerce and sponsor carnival Australia incorporating Costa Cruises need to undertake a commercial risk management, which is to be lodged at least 7 days prior to the event.

There will be adequate notification of all relevant emergency services and first aid on hand for the event.

Any adverse impact on persons in the vicinity of any noise likely to be emitted as a result of the entertainment and any proposed measures for limiting the noise

Noise and noise control

The event will be held with amplification to conform to the requirements of the Noise controls provisions of the Environmental Protection Authority.

It is not anticipated that there will be excessive or offensive noise generated by the event. The local church has had concerns about past events, about amplification of the event at the time of the service and the organisers will be requested to respect any amplification outside the church premises at sermon time.

Street entertainment will generate local noise only.

Whether the hours during which the building is to be used is limited

The bump in for the event is proposed to start on Sunday 8th June at 6am with the setup adjacent to commercial properties only and finish at 4pm with bump out and clean up occurring prior to 4pm. As it is a daytime event and not adjacent to residential premises it is unlikely to create offensive noise to local residents.

Any parking and traffic impacts likely to be caused by the entertainment

Traffic Management Plan

A traffic management plan has been developed in conjunction with the Traffic Engineer and considered by the Traffic Committee. It is similar to the Festa event for the road closures, with no standing zones and bus diversions, as closing Norton Street, involves a major bus route and through fare of traffic through Leichhardt.

Parking will be controlled by way of local parking controls in surrounding residential street and council parking officers will be operating on the day to ensure no issues arise from illegal parking. Local residents will be encouraged to walk or use public transport as part of the promotion of the event.

The police and council staff have been advised of the event and will be involved in the organisation of the event to assist in controlling traffic movement as required.

The relocated bus route is primarily along the lines of the Festa event to minimise inconvenience to residents and local traffic.

Whether the use is proposed to be carried out on land that there is an item of environmental heritage, or land identified as a heritage conservation area.

Not applicable.

(a)(i) Development Control Plans

The application has been assessed against the relevant Development Control Plans listed below:

- Development Control Plan No. 32 Design for Equity of Access and Adaptability

- Development Control Plan No. 36 Notification
- Development Control Plan No. 38 Waste – Avoid, Reuse, Recycle

The application satisfies the provisions of the above Development Control Plans with the following comments made.

Waste Management

The Central Kitchen is a catering company engaged on behalf of Carnival Australia who will provide the pizzas and toppings as well as local restaurants. Due to the issue with the sale of food not being permitted and potentially a lot of perishable waste from the left over pizzas the organisers will develop and implement a waste management and cleaning plan in conjunction with Leichhardt Council.

The event organisers will pick up rubbish throughout the event and will arrange for the removal of all rubbish from the event.

Council staff will arrange for the dropping off and picking up of bins on the day and be on hand to empty bins however the majority of waste will need to be clean up by the organisers to a trade waste bin.

A condition is recommended to ensure rubbish collection and disposal be organised prior to the event in conjunction with Council's Director of Works and Services.

Access

Portable toilet facilities will be utilised on site brought in by the organisers and are considered satisfactory for the number of persons expected.

Access and a disabled WC should be available and located on the site for patrons. It is recommended that a suitable condition be imposed.

(a)(ii) Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000

The Development Application has been assessed against the relevant clauses of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000. The Development Application fully complies with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000.

Under the previous requirements of section 68 of the Local Government Act 1993 this type of event would have been considered as an activity application.

The application is being dealt with as a development application because:

- (a) The land is not community land; and
- (b) The application was lodged after the implementation date of the transfer of powers to deal with public entertainment on land other than community land.

4.4 Environmental Planning and Assessment Amendment (Temporary Structure and Places of Public Entertainment) Regulation 2007

The requirements of the regulation have been assessed and it is considered that the proposal complies with the requirements of the regulation.

The level of fire safety and the structural capacity of the temporary structure and surrounds have been assessed. Protection of the ovens for public health and safety is a consideration which the caterers and event organisers are well aware of the layout of the marquee and arrangement will reflect this concern.

The event consisting of temporary structures is to be held on a public street in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia.

Prescribed conditions of consent are attached to the consent as required by Clause 98C.

The consent will be limited to the one off event to be held on Sunday 8th June 2008. Any subsequent events will require further Development approval.

5. Any submissions made in accordance with the Act or the regulations

The Development Application is to be advertised for a period of 14 days. The advertising period is to be from 21 May 2008 to 4 June 2008, due to the late finalisation of details from the organisers who had a short timeline within which to develop the event.

The organisers have approached the precinct committee, local shop owners and the Minister of the Church and any concerns raised by the any submission can be dealt with prior to the event but due to the late finalisation of some of the details of the event, earlier notification could not be undertaken.

Any submission will be acknowledged prior to the determination of the consent and if possible the event organisers will be required to adjust details to alleviate valid concerns.

6. The public interest

The public interest is best served by the consistent application of the requirements of the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments, and by Council ensuring that any adverse effects on the surrounding area and the environment are appropriately managed.

The proposal is considered to be not contrary to the public interest. The event is to be part of "Viva Leichhardt" and has the support of council as a community event. Sponsorship has been negotiated by the Leichhardt Chamber of Commerce with Carnival Australia, who are a cruise liner company and about to launch a new ship for cruising the Italian area.

The line of pizzas and the world record attempt for the Guinness Book of records attempt is the same length as a new ship to be launched, the Costa Allegra. Branding of the sponsor will occur and the event in conjunction accordance with the Leichhardt Chamber of Commerce will showcase Norton Street and Leichhardt as part of the Viva Leichhardt events.

7. Other matters

Security Arrangements

Volunteers from the catering company and the sponsor will be briefed as to the requirements for crowd control and expectations prior to the event. The crowds are not considered to be excessive for the use of volunteers.

The event is intended to be a local and family orientated event which should dictate the behaviour of the participants of the event. Major security problems are not expected.

The risk management plan should identify a procedure for incident reporting and contacts for the relevant services.

Public Liability Insurance

The organiser of the event shall be responsible for the conduct of the event, Leichhardt Chamber of Commerce, and shall hold and provide evidence of public liability insurance for the event to the value of \$10 million. The policy shall indemnify Leichhardt Council and the RTA against any claims for damage or injury for the event.

A copy of the insurance policy together with evidence of payment of the policy shall be provided to Council at least 7 days prior to the being held.

Waiving of Fees

The applicant has sought waiving of fees. It is recommended that the application fee, the notification fee be waived for the event as it is part of "Viva Leichhardt".

The event is for the general community benefit and has support in kind from council who have been involved in early discussions with the Chamber for the event.

Temporary structures

The erection of the temporary marquee and any market stalls are considered exempt development under the new SEPP and require no further approval.

8. INTERNAL REFERRALS

The Development Application was referred to the following Council Officers:

Traffic Engineer

Council Traffic Engineers have reviewed the Draft Traffic Management Plan in regards to the working details of the plan.

Council Traffic Engineers have made recommendations to finalise the working details of the plan. It is recommended that suitable conditions be imposed.

Environmental Officer

As there will be inadequate temperature control for the sale of food the pizzas cooked for the record attempt will not be available for sale. There are liability exemptions provided under the NSW Food Authority for the donation of perishable foods for charity purposes and the organisers are currently negotiating with OZ Harvest about the possible donation of the cooked pizzas.

Signage will be provided advising that the public is requested not to touch the displayed pizzas prior to the record attempt being measured and there will be other food for sale from the pizza oven and the surrounding cafes and restaurants.

9. CONCLUSION

The Development Application has been assessed in accordance with Section 79C (1) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979, SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007 and Environmental Planning and Assessment (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) Regulation 2007 and all relevant instruments and policies.

The proposal satisfies the objectives of Leichhardt Local Environmental Plan 2000 and will not result in significant adverse impacts for locality. Accordingly the application is recommended for approval, subject to the conditions listed below at the end of the submission period.

10. RECOMMENDATION

That Council as the consent authority pursuant to s80 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 delegate to the Manager Assessments to determine Development Application No: D/2008/203 for Guinness Book of Records attempt for the world's longest line of Pizzas after the conclusion of the advertising period.

A community and cultural event to be held as part of 'Viva Leichhardt' on Sunday 8th June 2008 on Norton Street between Marion Street and Allen Street.

Road closures will operate from 6am to 4 pm on Sunday 8th June 2008.

General Conditions

1. The event shall be implemented in accordance with the details, the accompanying plan, the application form and any supporting information received with the application except as amended by the conditions specified hereunder.

Conditions that must be satisfied prior to the commencement of the event

2. A copy of the Traffic Management Plan (TMP) must be forwarded to the RTA Traffic Management Centre.
3. At least 7 days prior to the event, final details of the bump in schedules and location map layout for the event shall be submitted to Council for approval.
4. Copies of approvals from Council, NSW Police, RTA and the approved Traffic Management Plan must be available on the site for inspection by NSW Police, WorkCover Inspectors, RTA Inspectors, or Council Officers.
5. Ensure that a three (3) metre unencumbered passage is made available for emergency vehicles through Norton Street at all times.
6. Rubbish collection and disposal arrangements shall be organised prior to the event in conjunction with Council's Director of Works and Services.
7. The organiser of the event and the catering company shall be responsible for the conduct of the event and shall hold and provide evidence of public liability insurance for the event to the value of \$10 million. The policy shall indemnify Leichhardt

Council and the RTA against any claims for damage or injury for the event including the consumption of food from the event. .

A copy of the insurance policy together with evidence of payment of the policy shall be provided to Council at least 7 days prior to the event.

Conditions that must be satisfied during works and the event

8. Food which is being produced for sale on the day will need to comply with the temperature control and protection from contamination in accordance with the requirements of the Food Act.
9. Any pizzas produced for the record attempt can not be sold.
10. The NSW Food Authority shall be given the proper notice of the event in accordance with their guidelines.
11. All possible measures shall be taken to protect food from contamination and proper food handling techniques shall be employed..
12. All structures shall be adequately secured to the ground against wind forces to protect the public safety.
13. Measures specified in the risk management plan must be adhered to.
14. Any noise attributable to the events shall be controlled so as to conform to the noise levels determined for the immediate environment to comply with the requirements of the Environmental Noise Control Manual and the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997.
15. The areas to be used for the activities must be maintained in a clean and tidy condition to the satisfaction of Council's Director Infrastructure and Service Delivery, or else the applicant will be required to reimburse Council for any extraordinary cleansing costs.
16. The applicant shall comply with any reasonable directive from Council's Officers including potential closing of the area in the case of inclement weather.

Conditions that must be satisfied on completion of the event

17. The area is to be left in a clean and tidy condition. Any rubbish generated by the event is to be removed from the park and disposed of.
18. Should any of Council's property and/or the environment sustain damage during the course of the event the damage shall be reported to Manager of Parks and Streetscapes as soon as practicable to ensure that the damage is repaired within seven days of the date of the event. The layout of the event is to ensure that no damage is occasioned to council infrastructure.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: ITEM 17 MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

FILE REF: F97/00831-11

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Well-being
Accessibility
Place where we live and work
A sustainable environment
Business in the Community
Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. Purpose of Report

To advise Council of the status of Minute Resolutions of the Planning Committee held on 8 May 2008.

2. Recommendation

That Council adopt the minutes of the Planning Committee held on 8 May 2008 with the accompanying recommendations.

MINUTES of the **Planning Committee** of Leichhardt Municipal Council held in the Supper Room on **Thursday, 8 May 2008**.

Present at the commencement of the meeting: Cr Damian Copley-Finch in the Chair, Cr Carolyn Allen, Cr Michele McKenzie, Ray Stevens, David Lawrence, Jason Trindall, Josie Davidson, Shirley Dean

Staff Present: Peter Conroy, Simon Manoski, Erla Ronan, Kate Fitzallen

Meeting Commenced: 6.40pm

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

Cr Damian Copley-Finch performed acknowledgement of country in his capacity as chair.

BUSINESS:

ITEM 1 APOLOGIES – NIL

ITEM 2 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING: 10 April 2008

PC08/18 RECOMMENDED

That Council adopt the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on **10 April 2008**.

ITEM 3 SUMMARY OF RESOLUTIONS

PC08/19 RECOMMENDED

That the information in the Summary of Resolutions be received and noted.

ITEM 4 UPDATE ON PLANNING ISSUES

4A CALLAN PARK

No matters arising.

4B WHITE BAY & GLEBE ISLAND

No matters arising.

ITEM 5 HERITAGE ACT REVIEW

PC08/20 RECOMMENDED

It is recommended that Council receive and note the information in this report.

ITEM 6 ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

6.1 NOTICE OF ADVERTISING STRUCTURE ON CITY WEST LINK, LILYFIELD

PC08/21 RECOMMENDED

That the following issues be raised as part of any submission to the Department of Planning:

1. View loss to CBD
2. Size of proposal relevant to sign at corner of City West Link and Victoria Road
3. Other back lit signs in locality – cumulative impact
4. Distraction to drivers
5. Consultation with Traffic Committee
6. Light spill
7. Options for alternative energy use – green energy/solar power

6.2 METROLINK SUBMISSION

PC08/22 RECOMMENDED

It is recommended that:

1. Council receive and note the information in this report.
2. Adopt the submission made by Leichhardt Council on the proposed concept.

6.3 PLANNING REFORMS SUBMISSION

Item provided for information only.

6.4 LIQUOR REFORMS SUBMISSION

Item provided for information only.

ITEM 7
OTHER BUSINESS

7.1 DISCUSSION PAPER – COUNCIL COMMITTEE STRUCTURE

This was submitted as a late item without notice.

PC08/23 RECOMMENDED

No objections was raised to the creation of Strategic Planning Committee. The following points were to be confirmed by Council:

- Meetings to be held on evening of 3rd Tuesday of the month.
- Community attendees to have an opportunity to ask questions and make submissions to those present at the meeting.
- Issue of whether community attendees have a vote to be determined based on the role of the committee. Is the committee a Council decision making forum?

The meeting closed at 8:15pm.

The next meeting will be held on Thursday, 12 June 2008.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: ITEM 18 MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES, SAFETY & FACILITIES COMMITTEE MEETING

AUTHOR & TITLE: ERLA RONAN – MANAGER SOCIAL PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

FILE REF: F05/00012-3

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: **Item 9** – that Council funds Shakespeare on the Green \$5,000 from the Community Events Program

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Well-being
Accessibility
Place where we live and work
A sustainable environment
Business in the Community
Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. Purpose of Report

To advise Council of the status of Minute Recommendations of the Community Services, Safety & Facilities Committee held on 1 May 2008.

2. Recommendation

That Council adopt the minutes of the Community Services, Safety & Facilities Committee held on 1 May 2008 with the accompanying recommendations.

MINUTES of the **Community Services, Safety & Facilities Committee** of Leichhardt Municipal Council held in the Conference Room on **1 May 2008**.

Present at the commencement of the meeting: Cllr Vera-Ann Hannaford (Chair), Cllr Carolyn Allen, Cllr Damian Copley-Finch, Nellie Reeves, Michael Walsh, Joe Mannix, David Lawrence, George Cooper, Francy Ross, Rania Kadadi, Darcy Byrne, Nicholas Wawer, Garry Mallard

Staff Present: Peter Conroy, Erla Ronan, Deborah Harvey, Craig Greene, Ma'ayan Amiezer

Meeting Commenced: 6.35 pm

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY:

Cllr Vera-Ann Hannaford performed acknowledgement of country in her capacity as chair.

ITEM 1 APOLOGIES

CSSFC 28/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That apologies be accepted for the non attendance of Cllr Robert Webb, Cllr Rochelle Porteous, Susan Braun and Joe Banno.

ITEM 2 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING: 3 April 2008

CSSFC 29/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council adopt the minutes of the Community Services, Safety & Facilities Committee meeting held on **3 April 2008** with the accompanying recommendations.

ITEM 3 SUMMARY OF RESOLUTIONS

CSSFC 30/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That the information in the summary of Resolutions be received and noted.

ITEM 4 COMMUNITY FEEDBACK

- The meeting noted that Graffiti on the Electricity substation has been removed by the asset owner (refer Item 2.2 of previous minutes) following representation from David Lawrence.
- A report will come to the June meeting on the Pioneer Park safety audit conducted by council officers.
- Planning is proceeding for the Annandale bike path.

ITEM 5 DISABILITY ACCESS COMMITTEE MINUTES

CSSFC 31/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council adopt the minutes of the Disability Policy Access Committee Minutes held on 9 April 2008. (**see Attachment 1**)

ITEM 6 LATSIC COMMITTEE MINUTES

CSSFC 32/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council adopt the minutes of the Leichhardt Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Consultative Committee held on **20 February 2008 and 16 April 2008**. (**see Attachment 2**)

ITEM 7 PRESENTATION – MINISTER FOR HOUSING – HON. TANYA PLIBERSEK, MP

Clr Hannaford welcomed the Minister for Housing, Hon Tanya Plibersek, MP to the meeting. The Minister presented the Federal Government's key strategies to address housing affordability nationally, in particular the national rental scheme. Details of how the strategy is to be implemented will be released in the next months. The meeting discussed the need for a state government position on affordable housing.

CSSFC 33/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Mayor write to the Federal Minister for Housing the Hon Tanya Plibersek, MP thanking her for presenting the Federal Government's position on housing to the Community Services, Safety and Facilities Committee.
2. That the Mayor invite local member Verity Firth to address the Committee on NSW State Government position in relation to affordable housing.

ITEM 8 COCKATOO ISLAND

The Committee discussed a report prepared by Erla Ronan advising of the outcome of the Cockatoo Island Business Study review.

CSSFC 34/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council;

1. receive and note the report on Cockatoo Island Arts and Cultural Programming: "By3".
2. note the operation of a sustainable arts/cultural precinct on Cockatoo Island is price-prohibitive for the alliance of City of Canada Bay, Leichhardt and Hunters Hill Councils.
3. support in principle the updating of the current Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Canada Bay, Hunters Hill and Leichhardt Councils in relation to Cockatoo Island subject to:
 - confirmation from the two other participating councils; and
 - available funding (including grants)
3. in collaboration with City of Canada Bay and Hunters Hill issue a briefing paper to key stakeholders including Arts NSW advising the outcomes of the analysis of the Cockatoo Island Arts / Cultural Precinct Business Plan study.
4. capture priorities for further cultural partnerships on a sub-regional basis in the forthcoming Community Cultural Plan 2010-2013.

ITEM 9 SHAKESPEARE ON THE GREEN

The Committee discussed a report prepared by Louise Keightley and Erla Ronan on the recent season of *Taming of the Shrew* presented at Mort Bay, and a request from company presenters *Shakespeare on the Green* for council assistance in covering costs of the production.

CSSFC 35/08 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. Note the attached proposal from *Shakespeare on the Green*
2. Funds *Shakespeare on the Green* \$5,000 from the Community Events Program where funds are available, to meet the loss associated with the performances.
3. That Council invites *Shakespeare on the Green* to apply for Community Events funding in 2008/2009 financial year for any future performances.

**ITEM 10
OTHER BUSINESS**

1. A thank you letter be sent to Michele Goeldi, Community Safety Coordinator for her contributions to the CSSF Committee.
2. The DDA Action plan has been drafted and a Councillor briefing will be presented to the June meeting.

The meeting closed at 8.15 pm.

INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

SUBJECT: ITEM 19 SUMMARY OF INFRASTRUCTURE & SERVICE DELIVERY RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of Infrastructure and Service Delivery Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendations**

That the information be received and noted.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

SUBJECT: ITEM 20 MINUTES OF LOCAL TRAFFIC COMMITTEE

FILE REF: F97/00809

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

PRESENT

Councillor Robert Webb
 June Papadopoulos
 Tom van Drempt
 Eric Priestly
 Bob Moore
 John Stephens
 Anoma Herath
 Ken Noad
 Lyn Thompson

Chairperson
 Sydney Buses
 Sydney Buses
 Sydney Buses
 Bicycle Advisory Committee
 LMC – Traffic Manager
 LMC – Traffic Engineer
 LMC – Traffic Engineer
 LMC – Office Manager

APOLOGIES

Navin Prasad
 Snr Const Anthony Kenny
 Representative
 Vera Zaccari

Roads and Traffic Authority – Client Services
 NSW Police
 Verity Firth MP – Member for Balmain
 LMC – Road Safety & Sustainable Transport
 Officer

ADDENDUM:

The RTA representative e-mailed his comments on each of the reports following the meeting and they are included in these Minutes.

The Police representative, prior to the meeting, advised he was unable to attend and further advised that he supported the Officer's Recommendations contained in the reports.

RECOMMENDATIONS

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

SUBJECT: ITEM 21 PARRAMATTA RIVER CATCHMENT GROUP

AUTHOR & TITLE: PETER GAINSFORD, DIRECTOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

FILE REF: F98/00171

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: \$5000 per annum to be funded from Council's Stormwater Budget.

Policy Implications: In accordance with Council's Stormwater Management Strategy.

Strategic Plan Objective: Community Well-being; A Sustainable Environment.

Staffing Implications: One staff member to attend meetings

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. **Purpose of Report**

To seek Council's permission to join the Parramatta River Catchment Group and confirm Councillor Representation on the group.

2. **Recommendations**

That Council:

1. Endorses the contribution of \$5,000 per annum commencing July 2008;
2. Endorses the Memorandum of Understanding and the updated Terms of Reference.
3. Nominates the Mayor and an alternate of the Deputy Mayor to attend Parramatta River Catchment Group meetings.
4. Agrees to host meetings on a rotational basis (approximately once every five years).

3. **Report**

The Parramatta River Catchment Group (PRCG) was formed to lead efforts to improve the condition and ecological function of the Parramatta River, its tributaries and catchment lands by improving and coordinating effort in natural resource management (NRM). Many NRM issues exist across the catchment or region and working only within local government boundaries will limit achievements. Better outcomes can be attained, for example, for biodiversity corridors, weed and pest management, and water quality by working at a regional level.

Other practical outcomes for councils and agencies working collectively within the PRCG with the support of the Sydney Metropolitan Catchment Management Authority (SMCMA) include:

- Assistance with identifying, setting and implementing Council NRM priorities.
- Stronger basis for and assistance with grant applications.
- Access to a regional perspective and technical advice.
- Access to baseline information and Best Practice Guidelines.
- Development of the technical capacities of council staff.
- Opportunities for additional funding.
- Assistance with generating community support.
- Opportunities for collective research.
- Contributing towards the achievement of state-wide NRM targets (State Plan) and assistance with Council responsibilities, for example State of the Environment reporting and Stormwater Management Plan implementation.

The Sydney Metropolitan Catchment Authority is seeking a formal response whether Council would like to become a member of the Parramatta River Catchment Group.

The Parramatta River extends along approximately half of Council's foreshore extending west to Iron Cove from Yurulbin Point.

The Parramatta River Catchment Group seeks a \$5000 contribution from Leichhardt Council to cover the costs of;

- A Coordinator 3 days per week;
- An Independent Chair for the twice yearly combined Councillor and Officer meetings;
- Catering of combined Councillor and Officer meetings; and
- Promotional/educational material.

The Parramatta River Catchment Group also proposes that:

- Each council to nominate a Councillor and an alternate as its representative on the Group. The Terms of Reference suggest that this preferably be the Mayor and the Deputy Mayor as an alternative.
- The full Group of Councillors and Officers to meet twice per year to monitor the progress of the Parramatta River Catchment Group.
- Sub-committees of council and agency staff, with community representatives where appropriate, to meet more frequently to undertake actions identified in the Implementation Plan and Communications Strategy.
- Rotation of combined Councillor and Officer meetings amongst Councils.
- A Memorandum of Understanding to be signed by the members.
- The existing Terms of Reference is updated to reflect the above points.
- The Group deals with regional issues and therefore actions adopted by the Parramatta River Catchment Group must meet certain criteria.

Council is already a member of the Sydney Coastal Councils' which represents the Port Jackson catchment area and it would therefore be beneficial for Council to have a similar role with the Parramatta River catchment.

The Parramatta River Catchment Group will present many opportunities to undertake a number of environmental initiatives on a regional basis. For example Council's Environmental Officer is currently coordinating a joint Council initiative to install a sand filter at Hawthorne Canal.

It is therefore recommended that Council join the Parramatta River Catchment Group.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: INFRASTRUCTURE AND SERVICE DELIVERY

SUBJECT: ITEM 22 CATHERINE STREET LILYFIELD TRAFFIC CALMING & REMOVAL OF SPEED CUSHIONS

AUTHOR & TITLE: ANOMA HERATH, TRAFFIC ENGINEER

FILE REF: ST00703

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: \$20,000 allocated in 07/08 LATM Budget

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: 2.3 Develop parking, road safety, active (cycling and walking) transport, public transport and community transport strategies that integrates with the objectives and strategies of the Accessibility Plan through place based planning.

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: Residents and businesses will be informed of Council's decision.

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise Council on the outcome of the public meeting held on 12 May 2008 regarding the impact of speed cushions installed in Catherine Street, between Moore Street and Piper Street, Lilyfield.

2. **Recommendations**

- 1) That the speed cushions installed in Catherine Street at Nos. 301 and 329/342 be removed as soon as possible.
- 2) That the proposal to install a pedestrian refuge in Catherine Street just north of Ilka Street be supported.
- 3) That a raised pedestrian crossing be installed in Catherine Street at Piper Street.
- 4) That vehicular speeds be monitored in the subject section of Catherine Street, following the installation of the above traffic devices and the results be reported back to the Traffic Committee.
- 5) That a Traffic Management Plan be prepared and submitted to the RTA for the introduction of a Light Traffic Thoroughfare (Buses Excepted) in Catherine Street between Brenan Street and Moore Street.
- 6) That no raised traffic calming devices be installed in streets in the LGA, which have a heavy vehicle composition higher than 5%.
- 7) That Council reaffirms its decision to install a trial of speed cushions in Catherine Street between Styles Street and Hill Street due to the low percentage of heavy vehicles.

3. **Report**

Council in late 2007 approved the installation of speed cushions at two locations in Catherine Street including a pedestrian refuge near Ilka Street.

The subject section of Catherine Street has generally a “north-south” alignment and is restricted to the general urban speed limit of 50km/h.

Speed counts recorded before the installation of the speed cushions revealed the majority of traffic were travelling up to 60km/h (northbound) and 58km/h (southbound) which is over the speed limit.

The classification of vehicles was also undertaken as part of the traffic count and indicated a high percentage (8%) of heavy vehicles, notwithstanding the existing bus service provided by Sydney Buses.

Also, Catherine Street forms part of Council’s strategic bike route and there is unrestricted parallel parking on both sides of the street. The majority of properties have no off-street parking and there is a latent demand for parking.

Traffic calming measures associated with horizontal deflection such as slowpoints are generally not suitable along a bus route or cycle route and such devices often require the removal of parking. Therefore, traffic calming measures with vertical deflection such as raised devices were recommended for the subject section of Catherine Street.

Sydney Buses also requested that speed cushions should be installed so that their buses could straddle the devices without affecting their bus patrons, especially elderly patrons.

The following diagram indicates the traffic calming measures adopted by Council for the subject section of Catherine Street between Moore Street and Brenan Street.



Following the installation of speed cushions in early April 2008, Council received a number of complaints from residents living near the speed cushion at Nos. 329/342 regarding the noise generated at the speed cushion.

At the Extra Ordinary Council meeting held on 6 May 2008, Council discussed residents' concerns regarding the speed cushions that were recently installed in Catherine Street between Moore Street and Piper Street, and resolved as follows:

"That the Mayor be delegated the authority to have the speed cushions in Catherine Street, Leichhardt removed should it be necessary pending the results of the noise measurements and feedback from the public meeting with residents and the endorsement of the Traffic Committee representatives."

3.1 Existing Speed Cushions

In order to review the impact of the speed cushion on traffic speed and noise levels, Council arranged traffic counters in Catherine Street between Ilka Street and Piper Street and also engaged an acoustic consultant to assess the impact of noise levels at the speed cushion (at Nos.327-342) and also at a raised threshold in Darling Street.

A public meeting was held on 12th May 2008 and attended by thirteen (13) residents, Ward Councillors and the Mayor. A presentation of the existing traffic calming devices and alternate traffic devices was given and these issues were discussed at length.

The meeting noted from traffic counts taken after the installation of the speed cushions that the heavy vehicle percentage was high (6%-8%) and vehicular speeds had been significantly reduced, esp northbound, following the installation of the speed cushions.

The meeting noted that Council had installed a number of raised thresholds in residential streets without any detrimental effect on residents. However, the heavy vehicle composition was lower (approx. 2%) in those streets.

Council has also installed a speed cushion in Beattie Street, Rozelle which is functioning well and without residents' concerns. Council is also proposing to trial speed cushions further along Catherine Street between Styles Street and Hill Street where the percentage of heavy vehicles is 3% which is acceptable. Therefore, it is recommended that this proposal still proceed as a trial.

Review of research material on the impact of speed humps has indicated that where truck traffic exceeds 10% of the traffic stream, complaints of excessive noise are likely to occur.

On the basis of the above information, it is recommended that raised traffic devices should not be installed in streets where the heavy vehicle composition is greater than 5%.

From the feedback of the residents present at the meeting, the Mayor resolved that Council would remove the speed cushions within the next two weeks. The Traffic Committee was advised of this decision on 13 May and a copy of this report will be considered at the Committee's meeting to be held on 23 May 2008.

3.2 Alternative Traffic Calming Option

The meeting was presented with two other options for traffic calming i.e. a raised threshold (75mm high) and a roundabout.

The raised threshold offered similar outcomes as the speed cushion.

Preliminary investigations were undertaken on the option of providing a roundabout at Leys Avenue. It was noted that this option offered the following:

Advantages:

- only a smaller and flatter roundabout could be installed due to site constraints.
- would assist in reducing vehicular speeds.

Disadvantages

- could cause some impact on access to resident garages
- result in the loss of parking in Catherine Street (a minimum of approx. 4 spaces).
- heavy vehicles and buses would need to travel over the slightly raised roundabout.

The residents did not support both the raised threshold or roundabout options as there would be some vertical deflection and therefore it could cause similar noise issues.

3.3 Raised Pedestrian Crossing

A proposal to install a pedestrian crossing in Catherine Street at the Piper Street intersection has been previously considered by the Traffic Committee and Council and supported in principle, subject to investigation of whether the device should be raised or at grade.

Recently, residents in Catherine Street were surveyed about which option they favoured. To date, 25 responses (24% response rate) have been received and only 4% of respondents have objected to a pedestrian crossing and 64% of respondents supported the option of a 'raised' pedestrian crossing.

More than half of the respondents (54%) within a 100m radius of the proposed pedestrian crossing have supported the 'raised' option.

This proposal is being reported to the Traffic Committee meeting to be held on 23rd May 2008 with a recommendation that a raised pedestrian crossing with kerb extensions be approved. Should the Committee amend this proposal; the Committee's recommendation will then be tabled at Council's meeting.

3.4 Light Traffic Thoroughfare

Council's Traffic investigations has revealed an unacceptable level of heavy vehicle movements in this section of Catherine Street. A report will be submitted to the May Traffic Committee meeting recommending that a Traffic Management Plan be prepared in order to reduce the number of heavy vehicles using this section of Catherine Street.

3.4 Relocation of Bus Zone

The residents at the meeting requested the relocation of the 'Bus Zone' on the western side of Catherine Street, south of Piper Street, to north of Piper Street to improve sight distance when turning out of Piper Street or travelling eastbound across Catherine Street.

The existing 'Bus Zone' is approximately 22m long and the parking zone, north of Piper Street, is approximately 16m long and the driveway north of it is approximately 4m wide.

Sydney Buses have recently updated their requirements for 'Bus Zone' lengths to enable satisfactory manoeuvring in and out of Bus Zones'. Accordingly, should the 'Bus Zone' be relocated it would require a minimum length of 30m and this would require the removal of the short-term parking outside the Newsagency at No.368. Double parking may occur thus affecting northbound traffic flow in Catherine Street which may lead to some vehicles crossing the double white lines.

Whilst it is acknowledged that eastbound motorists' vision in Piper Street on the western approach to Catherine Street would be affected by a bus stopping in the 'Bus Zone', this activity only occurs for a short period of time as patrons either board or alight the bus and the frequency of buses using the bus stop is not high nor is traffic in Piper Street. Traffic can also use alternate routes via Moore Street (from the south) or Brenan Street (to the north). Therefore the residents' request is not supported.

3.5 Parking Issues

Some of the residents also requested an extension of the Resident Parking Scheme in Catherine Street from Piper Street to Leys Avenue. According to Council's policy on Resident Parking Schemes, the parking occupancy in the subject street needs to be a minimum of 75% in a typical day to become a candidate for further investigation of the RPS.

Council officers undertook parking occupancy surveys in August 2007 and in April 2008 and the results indicated that the occupancy levels between Piper Street and Leys Avenue were less than 75%. Therefore, no further investigations will be undertaken to install a RPS in the subject section of Catherine Street at the present time.

4. Conclusions

Although the speed cushions in this section of Catherine Street are to be removed, it is expected that the proposed pedestrian refuge island north of Ilka Street and the raised pedestrian crossing at the Piper Street intersection should reduce speed levels in the subject section of Catherine Street.

Following the installation of the proposed devices, further traffic counts will be undertaken and reported back to the Traffic Committee for consideration.

Council; will also pursue the restriction of heavy vehicles in the subject section of Catherine Street with the RTA and Traffic Committee.

CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 23 SUMMARY OF CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008

FILE REF: F08/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: NIL

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of Corporate and Information Services Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendations**

That the information be received and noted.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 24 HALL HIRE WAIVER/REDUCTION FOR QUARTER
ENDING SERVICES 31 MARCH 2008

AUTHOR & TITLE: GEORGE GEORGAKIS - MANAGER ADMINISTRATION
SERVICES

FILE REF: F99/00040

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Donations approved (by way of fee waiver or hall
hire reduction as detailed in table attached to
report)

Policy Implications: Decisions are in line with Council's Hall Hire
procedures

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. Purpose of Report

To report back to Council on hall hire waivers/reductions considered and determined in the quarter ending 31/03/08 in accordance with Council Policy.

2. Recommendation

The information be received and noted.

3. Background

3.1 Halls

Council has the following halls/meeting rooms which are hired out at the rates set in Council's fees and charges for 2007/08;

- Leichhardt Town Hall
- Annandale Neighbourhood Centre – back glass room
- Annandale Neighbourhood Centre – upstairs hall
- Annandale Neighbourhood Centre – main building meeting room
- Lilyfield Community Centre

Requests by users for fee waiver or reduction in hall hire (ie applying for the community rate) are considered in the following ways depending on the venue;

Leichhardt Town Hall

Report prepared and submitted to the Ordinary Meeting of Council. Requests referred to the Mayor for determination if requests are received between meetings.

Annandale Neighbourhood Centre – back glass room

Report prepared and submitted to the Ordinary Meeting of Council. Requests referred to the Mayor for determination if requests are received between meetings.

Annandale Neighbourhood Centre – upstairs hall or main building meeting room

The Annandale Neighbourhood Centre Management Committee has previously been granted delegated authority to consider and determine these applications.

Lilyfield Community Centre

Report prepared and submitted to the Ordinary Meeting of Council. Request referred to the Mayor to determine if requests are received between meetings.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 25 DETERMINATION OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
REMUNERATION TRIBUNAL - COUNCILLOR FEES

AUTHOR & TITLE: GEORGE GEORGAKIS - MANAGER ADMINISTRATION
SERVICES

FILE REF: F97/01654

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: The required funds have been allocated in the budget for Councillor fees for the 2008-2009 period.

Policy Implications: Nil

Strategic Plan Objective: Sustainable Services and Assets

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. Purpose of Report

To report to Council on the determination of the Local Government Remuneration Tribunal for payment of fees to Councillors from 1 July 2008 to 30 June 2009.

2. Recommendations

The fees to be paid to the Mayor and Councillors for the period 1 July 2008 to 30 June 2009 be as follows;

- Mayoral allowance – (additional to Mayor's Councillor fee)
(\$33,010 being \$24,238 for Mayor & \$8,772 for Deputy Mayor)
- Deputy Mayor's allowance – (to be paid out of the additional fee determined for the Mayor) - \$8,772
- Councillor's allowance – \$15,120

3. Report

The Tribunal handed down its determination for 2008-09 on 30 April 2008. A copy of the report and determinations has been circulated to Councillors separately.

The Tribunal has allowed for a 4% increase for Councillors and Mayors. The increase is to be effective from 1 July 2008 and it is up to Council to make the final decision over their annual fee, provided it lies within the remuneration band the Council has been designated by the Tribunal.

The Tribunal has determined that the minimum and maximum fees payable for the year 2008/09 (for Leichhardt Council) be as follows;

Councillor Annual Fee		Additional Fee – Mayor/Chairperson	
Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum
\$6,870	\$15,120	\$14,610	\$33,010
(Additional to Mayor's Councillor Fee)			

Deputy Mayor's Fees

There is no set fee for the position of Deputy Mayor. Under S.249(5) of the Act, if Council determines a fee for the Deputy Mayor it is required to be paid out of the additional fee determined for the Mayor.

2007/08 Fees

In 2007/08 Council resolved that fees be, Mayor - \$31,740 (being \$23,306 for the Mayor and \$8,434 for Deputy Mayor) and Councillors - \$14,540 (being the maximum permitted by the Tribunal).

2008/09 Fees

If Council was to resolve to adopt the maximum fees, and maintain the Deputy Mayor's fee at the current proportion of the Mayor's fee, the following rates would be applicable;

- Mayor (\$33,010, being \$24,238 for Mayor and \$8,772 for Deputy Mayor)
- Deputy Mayor \$8,772
- Councillors \$15,120

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 26 LEICHHARDT PARK – PROPOSED SALE TO LE MONTAGE

AUTHOR & TITLE: LYN GERATHY, PROPERTY MANAGER

FILE REF: F02/00183

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Nil

Policy Implications: Permanent alienation of public land

Strategic Plan Objective:

- 1 Community well-being
- 3 Place where we live and work
- 6 Sustainable services and assets

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Those who made submissions. Neighbouring property owners. Minister and Department for Lands. State Member for Balmain

Other Implications: Nil

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise Council of recent developments with the part of Leichhardt Park used by Le Montage and the proposal for part to be sold by the Minister for Lands to the owner of Le Montage.

2. **Recommendations**

1. That Council write to the Minister for Lands and to the Department of Lands welcoming the reduction in the area of Leichhardt Park reserve proposed to be sold to the owner of Le Montage but reiterating Council's opposition to the sale of any additional part of Leichhardt Park other than Areas A1 and A2 on Plan 1 attached to the report.
2. That Council write to the State Member for Balmain requesting her assistance to ensure areas A3 and A4 on Plan 1 attached to the report are leased only with no part to be sold.

3. **Background**

Part of the Le Montage function centre encroaches onto Leichhardt Park. The Plan of Management for Leichhardt Park, as supported by the Minister for Lands, outlines a method for dealing with these issues. Reference is made to Plan 1 attached to this report. The Plan of Management proposed that areas A1 and A2 (shown cross-hatched) be sold to the owner of Le Montage and areas A3 and A4 would be leased for 25-30 years. A1 and A2 have a total area of about 166 square metres.

On 2 November 2007, without any prior warning, Council's care control and management of the relevant areas occupied by Le Montage was revoked with management taken over by the Lands Administration Ministerial Corporation (MinCorp). The area then proposed to be sold by the Minister was more than set out in the Plan of Management. Plan 2 attached to this report shows, cross-hatched, the area of land proposed in November/December 2007 to be sold being A1, A2 and about half A3 with a total area of about 1,000 square metres. A report on this matter was considered at the Ordinary Council meeting on 27 November 2007.

On 5 December 2007, the Mayor, General Manager and Director Corporate and Information Services of Council attended a meeting at State Parliament House with the Minister for Lands and a representative from the office of the State Member for Balmain. A report on this meeting is contained in the officer's report considered at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 11 December 2007. Council then resolved:

1. *That Council again inform the Minister for Lands of its opposition to the sale of any parts of Leichhardt Park Reserve shown as areas A3 and A4 on the first plan attached to the report.*

2. *That the Minister be requested instead to lease those areas of A3 and A4 to the owners of Le Montage, in accordance with the Plan of Management for Leichhardt Park.*
3. *That if the Minister does sell any parts of areas A3 and A4, such sale include an enforceable mechanism for the land to be re-transferred to public ownership for a nominal value if the building or the use of the building on area C of the plan is materially altered.*
4. *That Council distribute a leaflet to Leichhardt/Lilyfield residents and place a sign on the Bay Run outlining Council's opposition and encouraging residents to write to the Minister and support Council's position. Further, Council advertise its position in the Council's column.*
5. *That Council write to the Local Member, Verity Firth asking what her position is on the sale of these public lands and seeking her support in stopping the sale and that the letter be faxed to her on Wednesday 12 December 2007.*

4. **Report**

At the request of the Department of Lands ("DoL"), there was a meeting on 22 April 2008 between the Regional Manager and another officer of DoL and Council's General Manager, Director Corporate and Information Services and Manager Property and Commercial Services. At this meeting, the DoL officers delivered a letter dated 17 April 2008 to the General Manager, a copy of which, with diagram, is attached to this report. Plan 3 attached to this report has been prepared from that diagram to make comparison with Plans 1 and 2 easier. The area now proposed to be sold is A1, A2, part of A3 (but a smaller part than previously) and part of A4 with a total area of about 520 square metres.

It is welcome that the amount of land proposed to be sold by the Minister to the adjoining private landowner has been reduced from that proposed in November last year.

However, it is considered that the amount of public land being sold is still too large – it is more than three times the amount proposed in the Plan of Management - and there is no real reason for the additional parts to be sold rather than leased for 25 years. The encroachments in the extended sale area are temporary in nature as follows.

1. The "existing restaurant dining area" referred to in DoL's letter is a paved terrace with a lightweight structure and material. It is not a substantial building and not a significant part of the main building. It could easily be demolished at the end of any lease.
2. The "garbage disposal area" located in area A4 and referred to in DoL's letter is merely a separate fenced area where the bins are kept. It also could be demolished without affecting the rest of the building.
3. Part of the land the Minister wishes to sell is to enable Le Montage to extend its entry porch. An expanded entry porch to give cover from rain could (subject to development consent) be built in a way that allows it to be

demolished when the lease ends, without affecting the balance of the building.

The reference in DoL's letter to giving the owner of Le Montage "greater security of tenure over its operational area" is not accepted as a valid reason to sell the additional parts of the Reserve.

- A lease for 25 years gives security of tenure for 25 years which is longer than most commercial leases and leases of other crown land.
- Conca D'oro Lounge Pty Limited purchased the land from APIA and established Le Montage knowing that it did not include much of the car park area and that the use of this area depended on it obtaining a lease. This is a commercial decision it took.
- There is no obligation on the Minister, Council, the reserve trust manager nor any other adjacent property owner, private or public, to sell any of its land to a private company to expand its business.

It is recommended that Council write to the Minister for Lands, the Department of Lands and the State Member for Balmain welcoming the reduction in the amount of land proposed to be sold but highlighting the insubstantial nature of the "existing enclosed garbage disposal area" and the "existing restaurant dining area" and that each could easily be demolished after 25 years, that there is no justification for this additional public land to be sold and reiterating that no more than areas A1 and A2 should be sold, although areas A3 and A4 may be leased.

It is also recommended that the letter reiterate that any lease should provide that it terminates if the use of the Le Montage site substantially alters.

5. Summary / Conclusions

The Department of Lands is now recommending that the Minister sell to the owner of Le Montage a smaller area of Leichhardt Park than was proposed in December 2007. However, the Department's recommendation is still to sell more than three times the area proposed by the Plan of Management for Leichhardt Park. It is considered that the sale is unnecessary and unjustified. It is recommended that Council advise the Minister that areas A3 and A4 on Plan 1 attached to this report be leased for up to 25 years, subject to conditions, and not sold.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 27 GOODS AND SERVICES TAX CERTIFICATE

AUTHOR & TITLE: JODIE BOURKE, ACTING FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT

FILE REF: F08/00080

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Nil

Policy Implications: Completion of statutory disclosure requirements

Strategic Plan Objective: 6.2 Sustainable services and assets – manage our staff, financial resources, services and assets efficiently and effectively to ensure their sustainability.

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Department of Local Government

Other Implications: Nil

1. Purpose of Report

To ensure that Council meets its statutory disclosure requirements and its voluntary commitment to the Goods and Services Tax.

2. Recommendations

That Council provide a Goods and Services Tax certificate of compliance to the Department of Local Government for the period 1 May 2007 to 30 April 2008.

4. Report

Following a change in legislative requirements relating to the compliance with Goods and Service Tax (GST) in 2004/05, Council is now required each year to lodge a Goods and Services Tax Certificate with the Department of Local Government – refer Attachment A.

The certification includes statements that Council pays voluntary GST, maintains adequate management arrangements and internal controls and whether any GST non-compliance events were identified by or raised with the ATO.

It is considered that Council meets all requirements of the GST Certificate for the period 1 May 2007 to 30 April 2008.

**LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL****GOODS AND SERVICES TAX CERTIFICATE****Payment of Voluntary GST 1 May 2007 to 30 April 2008**

To assist compliance with Section 114 of the Commonwealth Constitution, we certify that:

- Voluntary GST has been paid by Leichhardt Municipal Council for the period 1 May 2007 to 30 April 2008.
- Adequate management arrangements and internal controls were in place to enable the Council to adequately account for its GST liabilities and recoup all GST input tax credits eligible to be claimed.
- No GST non-compliance events by the Council were identified by or raised with the Australian Taxation Office.

Signed in accordance with a resolution of Council made on 27 May 2008.

.....
Carolyn Allen
MAYOR

.....
COUNCILLOR

.....
Peter Head
GENERAL MANAGER

.....
David Murray
**RESPONSIBLE ACCOUNTING
OFFICER**

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 28 STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT BALANCES AS AT 30 APRIL 2008

AUTHOR & TITLE: FIONA NGO, ACCOUNTING OFFICER
JODIE BOURKE, A/FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT

FILE REF: F97/00004

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Investment income within budget

Policy Implications: NIL

Strategic Plan Objective: 6.2 Sustainable services and assets – manage our staff, financial resources, services and assets efficiently and effectively to ensure their sustainability.

Staffing Implications: NIL

Notifications: NIL

Other Implications: NIL

1. Purpose of Report

Local Government Act Regulation No 264 Section 19 (3)(a) specified that:

“The responsible accounting officer of a Council must provide the Council with a written report to be presented at each Ordinary Meeting of the Council, setting out details of all money that the Council has invested under Section 625 of the Act”.

In accordance with the requirements of S.625 and the above Regulation, attached is a Statement of Investment Balances as at the 30 April 2008.

Accordance with Local Government Act Regulation No.264 19 (3)(b), it can be certified that the investments listed have been made in accordance with the Act, the appropriate regulations and the Council's investment policies.

2. Recommendation

That the Statement of Investment Balances as at the 30 April 2008 be received and noted.

3. Report

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS AS AT 30 APRIL 2008

Term Deposits

Ref. Number	Term Invested	Financial Institution	Amount Invested \$	Monthly Interest Rate	Annual Interest Rate
34/99	90 days	CBA	877,500	7.89%	6.91%
42/00	90 days	CBA	760,500	7.88%	6.90%
N/A	182 days	Balmain/Rozelle Community Bank	1,000,000	7.90%	7.90%
N/A	180 days	IMB Ltd	2,000,000	7.53%	7.53%
N/A	180 days	SGE Credit Union	2,000,000	8.50%	8.50%
N/A	182 days	My State Financial Credit Union	2,000,000	7.72%	7.72%
N/A	181 days	NSW Teachers Credit Union	2,000,000	8.42%	8.42%
N/A	60 days	Community First Credit Union	1,000,000	8.15%	8.15%
N/A	30 days	St George Bank	4,000,000	7.85%	7.85%

Note: 34/99 & 42/00 are Loan/Investment offset facilities and the amount invested is reduced to match the loan principal outstanding every quarter.

Call Accounts –CBA Cash Treasury.

Ref. Number	Term Invested	Financial Institution	Amount Invested \$	Monthly Interest Rate	Annual Interest Rate
N/A	N/A	Cash Treasury	13,054,490	7.20%	6.68%

NB Cash Treasury account has a variable daily interest rate.

Floating Rate Notes

Ref. Number	Term Invested	Financial Institution	Amount Invested \$	Monthly Interest Rate	Annual Interest Rate
N/A	90 days	Bank of QLD	2,016,100	8.90%	8.14%
N/A	90 days	Bank of ADL	1,000,000	8.74%	7.91%

Collateralised Debt Obligation (CDO)

Ref. Number	Term Invested	Financial Institution	Amount Invested \$	Monthly Interest Rate	Annual Interest Rate
N/A	90 days	Ethical (Green)	2,000,000	8.78%	8.08%

TOTAL INVESTMENTS \$33,708,590

Interest rates remain unchanged at 7.25%.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES

SUBJECT: ITEM 29 3RD QUARTERLY REVIEW - 2007/08
MANAGEMENT PLAN

AUTHOR & TITLE: DAVID MURRAY FINANCE MANAGER
JODIE BOURKE A/FINANCIAL ACCOUNTANT

FILE REF: F07/00080

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Nil

Policy Implications: Presents Council's progress towards achieving the priorities identified in the Management Plan and performance against key indicators.

Strategic Plan Objective: 6.2 - Sustainable services and assets – manage our staff, financial resources, services and assets efficiently and effectively to ensure their sustainability.

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1.0 Purpose of Report

To report on the extent to which the performance targets set by the 2007/08 Management Plan have been achieved, in accordance with Section 407 of the Local Government Act (1993).

2.0 Recommendations

That Council receive and note the Report.

3.0 Report

On 26 June 2007 Council adopted the Management Plan for the three years 2007 to 2010.

The attached report provides, for each program of Council, an update on progress towards implementation of the Management Plan priorities for 2007/08, together with data on the key performance indicators.

A system of budgetary control has been established to monitor the actual income received and expenditure incurred each month, and to compare these against the adopted estimates. Council officers conduct monthly budget reviews and report any required changes to the adopted estimates quarterly to Council.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**REPORT**

DIVISION: CORPORATE AND INFORMATION SERVICES
SUBJECT: ITEM 30 BUDGET REVIEW - QUARTER 3 2007/08
AUTHOR & TITLE: DAVID MURRAY – FINANCE MANAGER
FILE REF: F07/00080
DATE: 3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: The budget has been updated to reflect changes adopted by Council in February 2008 to the Major Property and Mainstreets 2007/08 program of \$300,000.

Policy Implications: Presents Council's progress towards achieving the priorities identified in the Management Plan and performance against key indicators.

Strategic Plan Objective: 6.2 - Sustainable services and assets – manage our staff, financial resources, services and assets efficiently and effectively to ensure their sustainability.

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

Council adopted the 2007/08 estimates of income and expenditure on 26 June 2007. A system of budgetary control has been established to monitor the actual income received and expenditure incurred each month, and to compare these against the adopted estimates. Council officers conduct monthly budget reviews and report any required changes to the adopted estimates quarterly to Council. Accordingly, this report presents the budget review for the third quarter of the year ending 30 June 2008.

2.0 RECOMMENDATION

That Council adopt the budget changes as shown in Part A of this report.

3.0 BACKGROUND

Council adopted a balanced budget for the 2007/08 financial year on 26 June 2007 as summarised below.

Adopted Budget 2007/08		\$ Millions
Operating		
	Expenditure	49.78
	Revenue	<u>(60.40)</u>
	Total Operating	(10.62)
Capital		
	Expenditure	19.23
	Revenue	<u>(2.66)</u>
	Total Capital	16.57
Other Movements in Funding		
	Transfer from S94 Reserves	(2.60)
	Loan Financing	
	New Loan	(4.50)
	Loan Capital Repayments	2.53
	Transfer from Reserves	(1.38)
Total Net Budget (change in working funds)		0

4.0 REPORT

PART A – 3rd Quarter Budget Review

Council's budget has been reviewed for the third quarter to 31 March 2008. The review has identified no net change to the adopted balanced budget, as follows:

BUDGET VARIATIONS – QUARTER 3 2007/2008			
Item	Description	\$	Favourable / Unfavourable
1	Increased Expenditure – Myrtle St Playground	(300,000)	Unfavourable
1.1	Transfer from Property Reserve	300,000	Favourable
2	Decreased Revenue – Parking Fines	(100,000)	Unfavourable
2.1	Decreased Expenditure – Parking Fines	300,000	Favourable
2.2	Increased Expenditure – Infrastructure	(200,000)	Unfavourable
3	Increased Expenditure – Workers Compensation Premium	(220,000)	Unfavourable
3.1	Transfer from Property Reserve	143,447	Favourable
3.2	Increased Revenue – Workers Compensation Rebate	76,553	Favourable
Total 3rd Quarter Change		-	Balanced
Adopted 2 nd Quarter 2007/08 Budget		-	Balanced
Revised 2007/08 Budget		-	Balanced

Item 1 – Major Property & Mainstreet Activities – Myrtle St Playground
Increased Expenditure \$ 300,000
Increased Revenue \$ 300,000

At the February Ordinary Meeting Council allocated a further \$300,000 for the construction and embellishment of the Myrtle Street Playground project. This project forms part of the Major Property, Mainstreet & Developer Contribution Plan, which has been updated in the recent 2008/09 budget papers to reflect this change with funding being from the property reserve.

Item 2 – Parking Fines
- Infrastructure
Decreased Expenditure \$ 200,000
Increased Expenditure \$ 200,000

Council's parking fine revenue collections are below budget forecast. This section also has labour savings due to staff turnover and recruitment delays together with processing cost savings. The net decrease in cost is \$200,000 resulting in additional funding for infrastructure projects. Additional costs are anticipated for the embellishment of 2-8 Weston Street Balmain, and it is proposed to transfer the additional parking funding to this project.

Item 3 – Workers Compensation Insurance	Increased Expenditure	\$ 220,000
	Increased Revenue	\$ 220,000

The worker's compensation premium has increased from the original estimate of \$1,000,000 to \$1,220,000. This has been attributed to significant claims along with changes to Workcover's calculation methods that have resulted in significant increase in this and next years Premium. This increase has been partially offset by a performance rebate of \$76,553 received by Council. To offset the remaining increase, the annual transfer of funds to the Property Reserve has been decreased. This is possible as savings in worker's compensation premiums achieved in past years were transferred to the Property Reserve to ensure that if the worker's compensation costs were to again increase, there would be funds available that had not been absorbed into recurrent budgets.

PART B – Councillor Major Issues – 3rd Quarter Report

Council approved a budget of \$76,500 for Councillor Major Issues.

The following are details of issues undertaken in the first nine months of 2007/08.

Item	\$ 2007/08 Expenditure to 31 Mar 08	\$ Available Funds
<u>General</u>		
Walk Against Warming	841	
No New Iron Cove Bridge (VRCC)	1,467	
LGSA S94 Campaign	455	
Airport Protest Rally (share with Marrickville Council)	3,505	
Alteration to day of mourning plaque - Cunneen & Co	440	
Plaque Mary Jane Gleeson - Cunneen & Co	1,180	
Refund unexpended grant fund to Aust. Art Council	700	
Transfer to Callan Park Master Plan Campaign (below)	10,000	
M4 - Revenue (FOI Fee refunded)	(2,880)	
M4 - Expenditure	208	
Total Net Expenditure - 2007/08	15,915	
Budget	50,000	
Available Funds	34,085	34,085
<u>Donations</u>		
UNIFEM - Purchase of Bicycle	80	
Bernie Banton - Asbestos Victim	310	
Rozelle Fete	700	
Sydney Secondary Sponsorship School Awards	150	
Callan Park Bush Care	2,000	
Balmain Hospital Auxillary	1,000	
Total Expenditure	4,240	
Budget	10,000	
Available Funds	5,760	5,760
<u>Callan Park</u>		
Callan Park Fighting Fund	41,580	
Total Expenditure	41,580	41,580
Transfer of Funding for Callan Park Master Plan Campaign		10,000
Prior Year Funds c/f		33,655
Available Funds		2,075
<u>Red Cross</u>		
Budget	1,000	
Available Funds	1,000	-
<u>East Timor</u>		
Revenue - 2007/08	(19,858)	
Expenditure - 2007/08	30,808	
Total Net Expenditure - 2007/08	10,950	
Budget	15,500	
Available Funds - 2007/08	4,550	4,550
Prior Year Funds c/f		4,854
Total Available Funds		9,404

5.0 SUMMARY

This budget review has identified significant changes to expenditure and funding, as resolved by Council. The budget has remained balanced as all expenditure changes have been able to be funded.

MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**NOTICE OF MOTION**

DIVISION:	MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
SUBJECT:	ITEM 31 SUMMARY OF MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN RESOLUTIONS FROM APRIL 2008
FILE REF:	F08/
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications:	NIL
Policy Implications:	NIL
Strategic Plan Objective:	Sustainable Services and Assets
Staffing Implications:	NIL
Notifications:	NIL
Other Implications:	NIL

1. **Purpose of Report**

To advise of the status of Motions of Which Due Notice Has Been Given Resolutions from April 2008.

2. **Recommendation**

That the information be received and noted.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

NOTICE OF MOTION

DIVISION:	MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
SUBJECT:	ITEM 32 PYRMONT CHAMBER OF COMMERCE PETITION
FILE REF:	F08/
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

Councillor Sheehan

Recommendation

That Council support the Pyrmont Chamber of Commerce petition to Parliament to include artist live/work spaces in the proposed affordable housing in Wattle Street, Ultimo and Bay Street, Glebe.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**NOTICE OF MOTION**

DIVISION:	MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
SUBJECT:	ITEM 33 LEICHHARDT COUNCIL'S RELATIONSHIP WITH MORNINGTON ISLAND
FILE REF:	F08/
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

Councillor Sheehan

Recommendation

That Council reinvigorate Leichhardt Council's relationship with Mornington Island by initiating strategies that strengthen governance and community links so that each community can learn from each other and work together to close the gap.

Council Officer's comment:

Council resolved in 1992 to establish a twin Council relationship with Mornington Shire Council. The purpose of the affiliation was to further the reconciliation for Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal people, to provide for cooperation between our two Councils and to strengthen mutual understanding and existing bonds between our two communities.

In August 1998 Council resolved to re-invigorate and strengthen relationships with our Sister City of Giovvinazzo, Italy and our Twin City Mornington Island by including proposals in the 1999/2000 Management Plan.

In the most recent report to Council (in February 2000), Council was advised that the Aboriginal Consultative Committee had had ongoing discussions regarding opportunities to strengthen our ties with Mornington Island. Council was advised that a proposal would be presented for consideration in the 2000/01 budget. There was also a delegation/visit in 2000 to attend a memorial service for the former Mayor of Mornington Council and other members of the Local Aboriginal Land Council who had disappeared in a light plane crash on a flight between Mornington Island and Normanton in December 1999.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL**NOTICE OF MOTION**

DIVISION:	MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
SUBJECT:	ITEM 34 ACCREDITATION OF LEICHHARDT COUNCIL AS A FAIR TRADE COMMUNITY
FILE REF:	F08/
DATE:	3 August 2018
WORD PROCESSING REF:	G:\BP\Agendas\270508BP1.DOC

Cr Porteous**Background:**

Buying accredited fair trade products gives the consumer a guarantee that a fair and sustainable price is being paid to the producers of the products; that the workers are being paid a living wage and that money from the sale of these products is also being reinvested back into the local community's development. Promoting the purchase of fair trade products in our own communities also helps build awareness among consumers of inequalities in international trade and empowers people to make socially responsible choices.

The Fair Trade Association of Australia and New Zealand has developed an accredited process which enables local councils to become Fair Trade Communities. Participant councils work towards achieving a set of goals to promote fair trade within council's procurement practices and to work in partnership with the community through a steering group to increase the availability of fair trade products in local retail outlets and cafes, and their use by businesses and other organisations within the community.

As an indicator of the program's popularity in the UK, as at January 2006 there are 149 declared Fairtrade Towns and a further 238 towns working toward Fairtrade status in the UK.

Leichhardt Council already promotes fair trade products from East Timor and many local businesses and community organisations use and sell fair trade products. We are therefore ideally placed to become one of the first Fair Trade communities in Australia.

RECOMMENDATION

It is therefore moved that Leichhardt Council:

1. Supports fair trade and makes an in principle commitment to work towards the accreditation of Leichhardt Council by the Fair Trade Association of Australia and New Zealand as a Fair Trade Community.

This commitment calls for Council to work towards achieving the five fair trade goals for accreditation within the next 12 months. These goals are outlined below:

1. Local Council Resolution

The council passes a resolution which states the council's support for fair trade; commits the council to serve Fairtrade certified products at its meetings and in its offices, tea rooms and canteens; and commits the council to working towards meeting goals 2-5 within 12 months.

- 1.1 The council may commit to promoting awareness of fair trade to its constituency on a regular basis, through its free publication (if it has one) and other outlets.
- 1.2 The council may allocate Fair Trade Community responsibilities to a member of staff or committee (e.g. environmental officer) to ensure continued commitment to its Fair Trade Community status. The officer will represent the council on the steering group (Goal 2).
- 1.3 Once Fair Trade Community status has been awarded by FTAANZ, the council may erect street signs etc declaring it as a Fair Trade Community.

2. Community Steering Group

A local fair trade steering group is convened to ensure continued commitment of the Council to its Fair Trade Community status.

- 2.1 The steering group should ideally include a council representative, campaigners, and people representing the area's schools, churches, community organisations and local businesses.
- 2.2 The group is responsible for conducting an annual assessment of progress against goals to monitor the council's effectiveness in continuing to meet the goals. The completed annual assessment form is sent to FTAANZ where it will be reviewed before the certificate of Award is renewed.
- 2.3 The group is encouraged to organise special events for Fair Trade Fortnight in May each year, along with other promotional events through the year.

3. Availability of fair trade products in local shops

A range of fair trade products including Fairtrade certified coffee must be readily available in the area's shops and served in local cafés and catering establishments. As other Fairtrade certified products such as tea and chocolate become more available to stockists in Australia and New Zealand, these should also be made readily available in the area.

The general target for this goal is to initially achieve one retail outlet stocking fair trade products per 5,000 population. This may be modified on a case by case basis depending on the local situation, and may be increased or decreased in some communities.

In order to retain the Fair Trade Community Award in future years, there should be growth in the number of retail outlets stocking fair trade products.

- 3.1 Retail stockists could include a selection of health and whole food shops, supermarkets or fair trade shops. The council could focus on establishments unique to its area, for example hotels and B&Bs in tourist areas, cafes in hospitality areas etc. These should display literature or signs advertising the fact that they serve or sell fair trade products.
- 3.2 It should be easy for local people to find fair trade products as they do their everyday shopping. A local fair trade directory could be produced advising people where they can buy or find fair trade products – both on paper and online.

4. Use of Fairtrade certified products in local enterprises

Fairtrade certified products should be used by a number of local workplaces and community organisations (faith communities, schools etc). There should be a number of other groups officially participating in Fair Trade Communities within the council area, eg Fair Trade Schools, Churches and Workplaces etc. For a large council to achieve this goal, it is expected that a flagship employer will be required to have Fair Trade Workplace status (see the separate document *Fair Trade Communities – Guidelines for Workplaces* available on the FTAANZ website).

While no specific targets have been set for Goal 4, it is expected that where possible, there will be a number of organisations within each category (ie workplaces, schools and churches) within the council area. In order to retain the Fair Trade Community status in future years, further progress needs to be made on this goal.

- 4.1 Initially, as Fair Trade Communities is a new initiative, it may not be possible for the council to meet the goal for other groups with Fair Trade Award status. In this case, the council steering group should actively strive to meet the requirement as a progress goal.
- 4.2 Venues are encouraged to display stickers, posters or a sticker advising users that they use Fairtrade certified products and / or support the local Fair Trade Community campaign.
- 4.3 Educational campaigns could be organised in these places to deepen people's understanding of the issues and deepen their commitment to fair trade.

5. Media Coverage

The steering group should endeavour to attract media coverage and popular support for the campaign.

- 5.1 For the press, the story can be revived as each goal is achieved, organising a big splash for the Fair Trade Community campaign launch and award, and developing a strategy to keep it in the news long after. This will also enable local businesses and organisations to benefit from their involvement.

6. Progress Goals

Progress Goals are to be developed by the steering group to ensure ongoing growth of the program.

- 6.1 Progress goals may include, for example, partnering with a producer organisation, expanding the availability of fair trade products beyond the level specified in initial goals, striving for a measured increase in public awareness of fair trade, etc.

(FTAANZ 2008)

2. That a report be brought to the July Ordinary meeting outlining a timeline and process for the achievement of goals one to five and accreditation of Leichhardt Council as a Fair Trade Community by July 2009.

