



ORDINARY MEETING

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT
ITEMS 8A, 8B & 14C

30 OCTOBER 2007

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN OF THE FOLLOWING **SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS** FOR THE **ORDINARY MEETING** OF THE LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, LEICHHARDT TOWN HALL, 107 NORTON STREET, LEICHHARDT, ON **TUESDAY, 30 OCTOBER 2007**.

Peter Head
GENERAL MANAGER

26 OCTOBER 2007

BUSINESS :

ITEM 8A	8A RE-IMBURSEMENT OF COSTS FOR RALLY AT TEMPE RESERVE AGAINST SYDNEY AIRPORT REDEVELOPMENT, SUNDAY 17 APRIL 2005	3
ITEM 8B	REQUEST FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE	5
ITEM 14C	FORT STREET HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBRIDGE, OFF 531-563 PARRAMATTA ROAD, LEICHHARDT NSW 2040	6

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

DIVISION: PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

SUBJECT: ITEM 8A RE-IMBURSEMENT OF COSTS FOR RALLY AT TEMPE RESERVE AGAINST SYDNEY AIRPORT REDEVELOPMENT, SUNDAY 17 APRIL 2005

FILE REF: F97/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\2007 agendas\supplementary 301007.doc

Leichhardt Council has received correspondence from Legal Force Specialist Debt Collectors on behalf of Marrickville Council regarding the re-imburement of costs involved in holding a rally against the proposed development of Kingsford Smith Airport.

At the March 2005 Ordinary meeting, the following resolution was adopted:

That Council;

Endorses the actions of the joint Council working party in representing their constituents who are concerned with the level of over development and traffic congestion at Kingsford Smith Airport;

Supports the proposed rally co-ordinated by the joint Council working party to be held at Sydney Airport on Sunday, 17 April 2005;

Continues its campaign to pressure the Federal Government to stop imposing short term fixes but finding a lasting solution to this enormous problem.

That Council advertise the rally in its weekly column in The Inner City Courier.

Council delegate the Mayor to consult with the Mayor of Marrickville Council on Leichhardt Council's contribution including distribution of a leaflet.

After the rally had been held, Council received correspondence from Marrickville Council on 3 May 2005 and 1 August 2005 requesting re-imbusement of \$3,773.21 as a one fifth share of the overall cost of holding the rally (\$18,866.03) to be shared between Leichhardt, Marrickville, City of Sydney, Ashfield and Randwick Councils.

This matter was raised as précis of correspondence at the June 2005 ordinary meeting where the following resolution was adopted:

That Council delegate to the Mayor or her representative to negotiate and determine the level of contribution Council will make.

As was reported to the June 2005 meeting, Council had already expended \$1,756.00 in printing and delivery of the leaflet advertising the rally and Council's weekly column as well as advertising.

Advice received from Marrickville Council is that all other Council's have paid their one fifth share contribution towards the cost of the rally.

Recommendation:

That Council agree to reimburse Marrickville Council an amount of \$3,773.21 as requested.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

DIVISION: PRECIS OF CORRESPONDENCE

SUBJECT: ITEM 8B REQUEST FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

FILE REF: F97/

DATE: 3 August 2018

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\2007 agendas\supplementary
301007.doc

Leichhardt Council has received correspondence from the Australian East Timor Association requesting financial assistance to bring 2 experienced Tais weavers to Australia to promote their craft and increase export opportunities for the Women's Woven Art project in Dili.

As this letter states, 2 women will be travelling to Sydney to give weaving demonstrations at a number of locations around Sydney and the Blue Mountains.

AETA has requested a donation from Council to go towards their travel expenses which is estimated at \$4,000 with only approx \$1,200 having been raised so far.

Leichhardt Council and the Friends of Maliana has been a long time supporter of AETA and has supported the Women's Woven Art project by purchasing Tais of them and reselling as part of the fundraising process.

Recommendation:

That Leichhardt Council donates \$200.00 from the East Timor budget towards the costs of bringing the weavers to Sydney.

LEICHHARDT MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

REPORT

DIVISION: ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

SUBJECT: ITEM 14C FORT STREET HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBRIDGE,
OFF 531-563 PARRAMATTA ROAD, LEICHHARDT NSW
2040

AUTHOR: KAREN JONES, MANAGER ASSESSMENTS

FILE REF: D/2005/471

DATE: 25 OCTOBER 2007

WORD PROCESSING REF: G:\BP\Agendas\2007 agendas\supplementary
301007.doc

DIRECTOR'S SUMMARY - ORGANISATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial Implications: Cost of legal advice

Policy Implications: Nil

Strategic Plan Objective: Place Where We Live

Staffing Implications: Nil

Notifications: Nil

Other Implications: Nil

1. Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to advise Council of correspondence received from Marrickville Council concerning Development Application No. 2005/471.

2. Recommendations

That Council seek legal advice regarding Development Application No. 2005/471 and whether the Department of Planning followed the correct procedure for the assessment and determination of the application.

In the event that the Department of Planning did not follow the correct procedure for the assessment and determination of the application, the Council seek further legal advice on the possible grounds for appeal and/or the correct procedure for resolution of the matter.

3. Background

Development Application No. 2005/471 proposed to retain advertising structures on pedestrian overhead bridge over Parramatta Road and to use such structures for display of general advertising.

The signs were originally approved for a period of ten (10) years only, on the understanding that the revenue generated by the signs was only to be for the purposes of accommodating the cost of the erection of the bridge. Those ten years expired approximately two years ago.

The RTA lodged the Development Application to allow the signage to remain indefinitely. Council originally considered this request on 14 June 2006 and resolved to request the Minister to refuse the application.

On 11 August 2006 representatives from Leichhardt Council, Marrickville Council, the Department of Planning and the RTA met to discuss the application.

In September 2006 the Department of Planning formally advised the RTA that insufficient information had been provided to enable the Department to assess the application, and the RTA was requested to supply further documentation.

In February 2007 the RTA submitted a formal response to the Department of Planning with regard to the September request, however this response was not forwarded to either Leichhardt or Marrickville Council until Leichhardt staff queried the delay in assessment of the application by the Department of Planning in April 2007.

Council at its meeting held on 15 May 2007 considered a report that recommended that Council reiterate its opposition to the application but that as per negotiations with the Department of Planning, draft conditions to be presented for the Department's consideration.

The application was subsequently approved by the Minister of Planning.

4. Report

Leichhardt Council has recently received correspondence from Marrickville Council dated 8 October 2007. A copy of this correspondence is attached.

Marrickville Council's letter suggests that the Department of Planning has not followed the procedures in Section 116E and that Marrickville Council will write to the Department of Planning regarding this and request that, if the procedures have not been followed that this be corrected.

It is recommended that Leichhardt Council obtain legal advice concerning Section 116E and whether the correct procedures were followed in the assessment and determination of the development application.

In the event that the Department of Planning did not follow the correct procedure for the assessment and determination of the application, the Council seek further legal advice on the possible grounds for appeal and/or the correct procedure for resolution of the matter.