



POLICY MEETING

09 February 2016

MINUTES

MINUTES of POLICY MEETING of Leichhardt Municipal Council held in the Council Chambers Leichhardt Town Hall, Norton Street, Leichhardt on 9 February 2016.

Present at the commencement of the meeting: The Mayor, Cr D. Byrne in the chair, Councillors F. Breen, C. Channells, T. Costantino, S. Emsley, V. Hannaford, L. Kelly, D. Kogoy, McKenzie (6:38pm), R. Porteous & J. Stamolis

Staff Present: General Manager, Director Corporate and Information Services, Director Environmental & Community Management, Director Infrastructure & Service Delivery, Group Manager Community & Cultural Services, Manager Legal Services, Team Leader Community Planning and Development , Transport Planner, Manager Property & Commercial Services, Manager Governance & Administration and Administration Officer.

Meeting Commenced: 6:30pm

**** ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY**

I acknowledge the Gadigal and Wangal people of the Eora nation on whose Country we are meeting today, and their elders past and present.

**** APOLOGIES AND APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

C02/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ BREEN

That apologies be accepted and leave of absence be granted for the absence of Cr Jobling.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**** DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND NON-PECUNIARY INTERESTS**

Cr Kelly declared a non pecuniary interest (non significant) in respect of Item 2.5 (RMS Proposed Changes to Bus Stops in Annandale, Camperdown, Leichhardt, Lilyfield and Rozelle) as she is employed by Sydney Local Health District which is referred to in the report as Sydney South West Area Health Service.

**** CLOSED COUNCIL - CONSIDERATION OF CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS (MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC)**

C03/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ COSTANTINO

Council resolved to meet in Closed Session at 6:33pm with the press and public excluded after a MOTION BYRNE/ COSTANTINO to that effect was CARRIED.

The following items are listed for consideration by Council in CLOSED Council with the press and public excluded, in accordance with the provisions of Section 10A (2) (d) and (g) of the Local Government Act, 1993.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen
AGAINST VOTE - Nil
ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Jobling
PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

6:38pm Cr McKenzie entered the meeting.

ITEM 4.1 AFTER SCHOOL CARE SERVICE APPROVAL APPLICATIONS

C04/16P RESOLVED STAMOLIS/ KOGOY

That Council note the applications for Service Approval submitted by Whoosh Care to the Department of Education and Communities.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen
AGAINST VOTE - Nil
ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling
PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

ITEM 4.2 LEGAL SERVICES REPORT

C05/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ HANNAFORD

1. That the Report be received and noted and the actions taken by the Manager of Legal Services be endorsed.
2. That Council proceed with action to secure payment of outstanding debts as outlined in the report.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**** RETURN TO OPEN COUNCIL**

C06/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ CHANNELLS

Open Council resumed at 6.58pm after a MOTION **BYRNE/CHANNELLS** to that effect was CARRIED.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

6:58pm The Mayor adjourned the meeting for two minutes.

7:00pm The Mayor resumed the meeting.

The Mayor read out the recommendations made in Closed Council to the public.

**** PUBLIC INVITED TO ADDRESS MEETING ON MINUTE ITEMS**

The Mayor reminded the public to be respectful whilst speaking and that before speaking they must provide their full name and suburb of residence so that these details can be recorded in the minutes.

**** PUBLIC FORUM**

The following members of the public addressed the meeting:

Hall Greenland of Leichhardt addressed the meeting in relation to Item 2.1 (Merger Proposal - Ashfield, Leichhardt and Marrickville Councils; draft Submission to the delegate).

Peter Mangels of Randwick addressed the meeting in relation to Item 2.7 (Planning Proposal for 100-102 Elliott Street, Balmain)

ITEM 1.1 2016 ANZAC DAY DAWN SERVICE

Background

The Balmain community was one of the first communities in Australia to erect a formal memorial to WW1 (if not the first).

In 2016, the memorial at Loyalty Square will be 100 years old and so too, it will be 100 years since the first service at this memorial.

The Anzac Day dawn service in 2015 responded to a deep desire within our community, who gathered together to remember the great service of Australian defence personnel and to commemorate an event which is etched into our national consciousness.

The years 2016, 2017 and 2018 mark 100 years since the first war and are significant to the Australian community.

At last year's May ordinary meeting, Council resolved to enter into discussions with the Balmain-Rozelle RSL regarding the opportunity to conduct dawn services on 25 April in 2016, 2017 and 2018 with a report to be provided back to Council at the July 2015 Policy Council Meeting.

It was also resolved to consider a schedule rotating the event annually between the sites of the major war memorials of the LGA, the locations to be determined in consultation with the relevant RSL branches.

At the time of the July Council meeting, the RSL had not confirmed plans for 2016 Anzac Day event other than a separate commemorative service for the Rededication of the Monument.

Council officers have now confirmed with the RSL that the Dawn Service can be held in Balmain this year, and include the Rededication for the Loyalty Square Memorial in the same Anzac Day event to mark its 100th Anniversary.

C07/16P RESOLVED BYRNE

That Council:

1. Allocate \$10,000 from the Miscellaneous Priorities Budget for the 2016 Anzac Day Dawn Service memorial event to proceed.
2. Instruct Council's Events Co-Ordinator to collaborate with the RSL in the planning and presentation of the Event, including assisting with a Traffic Management Plan to be submitted to the next available Traffic Committee.
3. Report back to the February Ordinary Meeting on Plans for community notification and promotion of the event.
4. Ensure the format of the event is the same as last year and all Councillors are invited to lay a wreath.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

8:00pm The Mayor adjourned the meeting for 5 minutes.

8:05pm The Mayor resumed the meeting.

**ITEM 2.1 MERGER PROPOSAL - ASHFIELD, LEICHHARDT AND
MARRICKVILLE COUNCILS; DRAFT SUBMISSION TO THE
DELEGATE**

C08/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ KELLY

That Council:

1. Agree to publicly exhibit the draft 'Submission to the delegate on the Merger proposal for Ashfield, Leichhardt and Marrickville Council' provided at Attachment 1
2. Note that the exhibition period will conclude in late February and the final submission to the delegate (incorporating any community feedback) will be reported to the Ordinary Meeting of Council in February 2016.
3. Note that Council's submission to the delegate is due by no later than 5pm on Sunday 28 February 2016.
4. Amend the draft submission to highlight the policy inconsistency in the NSW Government's position on Council amalgamations which will result in a diminution in local decision making and representation. Other state wide policy/organisational reforms, designed to improve management efficiency and cost savings, have been recently reviewed in order to restore local decision making. Two examples illustrate this policy inconsistency: (a) The creation of Local Hospital Networks in 2011 which were driven primarily by a desire to restore greater decision-making (to meet local needs) through new Local Health Districts and boards; and (b) The government's stated policy to return local planning powers to local communities (through their Councils).
5. Amend the executive summary of the draft submission for criteria number 2 to be reviewed to include more examples of our community and identity.
6. Amend the sustainable environment section of the draft submission with detailed discussion on criteria number 5 to be reviewed in regards to impact of the proposed merger
7. Amend the section of the executive summary and Council's full submission in respect of scale and capacity be expanded to include details of Council's range of comprehensive and innovative policies which provide evidence of Council's capability in meeting the key elements set out on page 5 of the amalgamation proposal for Ashfield, Leichhardt and Marrickville.
8. Amends its submission to challenge the State Government assertion, that the Local Government Sector is broken as being false and misleading. There is no evidence to suggest this from State Government reports and records such as OLG Annual Reports and Performance Reviews nor the IPART FFF Process. State Government should either provide support for its comments or apologise to the NSW public.

9. Amends its submission to state that the Merger proposal will see a reduction in representation for 2.5 million people across Sydney and A further 1.8 million will see no improvement at all. The public expects improvements in representation as part of Local Government Reform.
10. Amends its submission to include that a thorough review of Local Government funding should be commissioned by State Government in cooperation with Local Government to ensure Strong and stable funding for the Local Government Sector. Whether big or small, Local Government cannot perform to a high level without strong and stable funding.
11. Amends its submission to be include that financial problems seem to have higher incidence for large Councils rather than smaller Councils yet the focus of State Governments is on merging smaller Councils. This seems counterintuitive.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

During discussion of this item a number of amendments were moved and voted on. The Amendments that were carried were incorporated in the above motion. The vote for and against the amendments are shown below;

KELLY / BYRNE

That Council amend the draft submission to highlight the policy inconsistency in the NSW Government's position on Council amalgamations which will result in a diminution in local decision making and representation. Other state wide policy/organisational reforms, designed to improve management efficiency and cost savings, have been recently reviewed in order to restore local decision making. Two examples illustrate this policy inconsistency: (a) The creation of Local Hospital Networks in 2011 which were driven primarily by a desire to restore greater decision-making (to meet local needs) through new Local Health Districts and boards; and (b) The government's stated policy to return local planning powers to local communities (through their Councils).

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

KELLY / BYRNE

That Council amend the executive summary of the draft submission for criteria number 2 to be reviewed to include more examples of our community and identity.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

KELLY / BYRNE

That Council amend the sustainable environment section of the draft submission with detailed discussion on criteria number 5 to be reviewed in regards to impact of the proposed merger

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

BREEN / EMSLEY

That Council amend the section of the executive summary and Council's full submission in respect of scale and capacity be expanded to include details of Council's range of comprehensive and innovative policies which provide evidence of Council's capability in meeting the key elements set out on page 5 of the amalgamation proposal for Ashfield, Leichhardt and Marrickville.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

KOGOY

Given this comprehensive Leichhardt Council report outlines many reasons for Council to stand alone, and the overwhelming feedback given to the delegate at the public Inquiry by council and the community was for Leichhardt to remain a strong, stand alone Council. It is therefore moved that Leichhardt Council unambiguously resolve as policy to stand alone as a council and remove council's fall-back position of a merger between Leichhardt, Ashfield and Marrickville Councils.

The Mayor ruled this amendment as being out of order as a rescission motion had not been lodged in accordance with Clause 2.09 in Council's Code of Meeting Practice.

STAMOLIS / MCKENZIE

That Council amends its submission to challenge the State Government assertion, that the Local Government Sector is broken as being false and misleading. There is no evidence to suggest this from State Government reports and records such as OLG Annual Reports and Performance Reviews nor the IPART FFF Process. State Government should either provide support for its comments or apologise to the NSW public.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Tony Costantino

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

STAMOLIS / MCKENZIE

That Council amends its submission to state that the Merger proposal will see a reduction in representation for 2.5 million people across Sydney and A further 1.8 million will see no improvement at all. The public expects improvements in representation as part of Local Government Reform.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Tony Costantino

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

STAMOLIS / MCKENZIE

That Council amends its submission to include that a thorough review of Local Government funding should be commissioned by State Government in cooperation with Local Government to ensure Strong and stable funding for the Local Government Sector. Whether big or small, Local Government cannot perform to a high level without strong and stable funding.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

STAMOLIS / MCKENZIE

That Council amends its submission to be include that financial problems seem to have higher incidence for large Councils rather than smaller Councils yet the focus of State Governments is on merging smaller Councils. This seems counterintuitive.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Simon Emsley

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

MATTER ARISING - PUBLIC INQUIRY

C09/16P RESOLVED PORTEOUS/ CHANNELLS

That Council write to the delegate, Cheryl Thomas, the Minister for Local Government, the Premier as well as the Leader of the Opposition, Shadow Minister for Local Government, David Shoebridge MLC, Hon Rev Fred Nile MLC and hon Robert Borsak outlining our concerns (as recorded in Councillor Porteous' tabled Motion).

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino,

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

C10/16P RESOLVED PORTEOUS / HANNAFORD

That Council asks the delegate that a further Public Inquiry meeting be convened for the Leichhardt, Ashfield and Marrickville Forced Amalgamation proposal as soon as possible to provide the opportunity to all residents and organisations to speak if they have been prevented from doing so up to now.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Daniel Kogoy

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

C11/16P RESOLVED PORTEOUS / BYRNE

That the delegate be requested to run a plebiscite / poll for all electors in the three LGAs (as provided in the Local Government Act) asking whether they support the forced amalgamations of the three councils.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino
ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling
PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

C12/16P RESOLVED PORTEOUS / BYRNE

That Council supports the community organised Save Local Democracy Rally against the forced amalgamation of Leichhardt Council on February 20 outside the Balmain Town Hall. That all staff, the Staff Consultative Committee and United Services Union representatives be notified about the rally.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen
AGAINST VOTE - Cr Tony Costantino
ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling
PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**** SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDERS**

C13/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ KELLY

That Standing Orders be suspended and Item 2.7 be brought forward and dealt with now.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen
AGAINST VOTE - Nil
ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling
PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 2.7 PLANNING PROPOSAL FOR 100-102 ELLIOTT STREET,
BALMAIN**

C14/16P RESOLVED STAMOLIS / MCKENZIE

That Council:

1. Receive and note this report and attachments;
2. Resolve not to support the request the subject of the Proponent's Planning Proposal to rezone 100-102 Elliott Street, Balmain from Local Centre (B2) to General Residential (R1) for the following reasons:
 - a. the rezoning would further reduce the supply of commercially zoned, employment generating lands in Leichhardt Municipality limiting potential employment opportunities and the ability to meet job targets.
 - b. the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with s.117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones and 7 Metropolitan Planning as the loss of commercially zoned land would be of significance to the local government area's employment generating land supply and ability to meet job targets and locate jobs closer to home.
 - c. the Planning Proposal is inconsistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney and the Draft Inner West Subregional Strategy as the loss of commercially zoned land would be of significance to the local government area's employment generating land supply and ability to meet job targets and locate jobs closer to home.
 - d. The Planning Proposal does not have merit when assessed against the economic and employment criteria included within Leichhardt 2025+, the Leichhardt Employment Lands Study and the Leichhardt Employment and Economic Development Plan 2013-2023.
3. Resolve to forward Council's Planning Proposal (**Attachment 2**), an alternate option, to the Minister for Planning for a Gateway determination to rezone appropriate parts of the site to General Residential (R1), Business Park (B7), Public Recreation (RE1) while retaining Local Centre (B2) for the rest of the site to achieve the intended outcome of the proponent's Planning Proposal that is the subject of this report and protect employment generating lands in Leichhardt Municipality.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**** RESUMPTION OF STANDING ORDERS**

C15/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ COSTANTINO

That Standing Orders be resumed.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 2.2 DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE CODES SEPP TO EXPAND
COMPLYING DEVELOPMENT TO INCLUDE TWO STOREY
MEDIUM DENSITY HOUSING TYPES**

C16/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ HANNAFORD

That Council:

1. Receive and note the information provided in this report; and
2. Endorse the submission, as outlined in **Attachment 1**, to the Department of Planning and Environment in relation to the Discussion Paper on Expanding Complying Development to include two storey medium density housing types.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 2.3 IPART REPORT - REDUCING THE REGULATORY BURDENS
ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT**

C17/16P RESOLVED KELLY/ CHANNELLS

1. That Council provide feedback to IPART on the Draft recommendations as detailed in the report subject to the following
 - a. Not support recommendation 29 – Shifting employment protections from the Act to the Regulations diminishes their effectiveness, and creates greater uncertainty as regulations may be more easily changed
 - b. Not support recommendation 30 – Limits employment security and would negatively impact on recruitment and retention of staff
 - c. Not support recommendation 49
 - d. Recommendation 42 be amended to read; Supported subject to Council's having the flexibility to consult on poms in accordance with its own community engagement framework at any time of the year

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

During consideration of the above Lost Primary Motion, the following Amendment by Crs Channells and Porteous was voted on and lost. The vote for and against the LOST Amendment is shown below for the record;

CHANNELLS / PORTEOUS

That Council provide feedback to IPART on the Draft recommendations as detailed in the report subject to Not supporting the recommendations 24 and 25

The vote for and against the above LOST AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr Daniel Kogoy

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 2.4 WESTCONNEX STAGE 2 M5 - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
STATEMENT PUBLIC EXHIBITION**

C18/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ BREEN

That Council:

1. Forward a submission to the Department of Planning and Environment (based on the submission points included in this report) and advise that Council is opposed to the State Significant Development Application (SSI 14 6788) for the New M5 (WestConnex Stage 2) as the proposed development, as outlined in the Environmental Impact Statement is inconsistent with the relevant aims of Leichhardt Council's strategies, most particularly its Integrated Transport Plan, and will not:
 - i) create a legible, direct and safe pedestrian and cycling environment;
 - ii) encourage public transport use;
 - iii) provide a safe and efficient road network for all road users;
 - iv) facilitate integration of land use, transport and community & cultural activities;
 - v) promote health and wellbeing;
 - vi) improve environmental conditions; and
 - vii) support Councils adopted 10 Year mode shift targets, including a reduction of private car use from 44% to 28%.
2. Advise the Department of Planning and Environment that Council requests additional information and data as outlined in Section 2 - Review of the New M5 Environmental Impact Statement, including:
 - i) detailed information about Stage 3 of the WestConnex Motorway Project;
 - ii) further information and consideration by the NSW State government is requested to ensure that the WestConnex project is considered in light of the extensive list of related urban projects which are currently in planning and development phases;
 - iii) a fully co-ordinated, evidence based assessment of how the WestConnex project will contribute to the liveability and social, economic and environmental sustainability of the Sydney, particularly Sydney's Inner West;
3. Based on the review of the EIS, the following points are recommended for inclusion in Council's submission:
 - i) it is considered that the WestConnex Motorway Project, including the New M5, is not in keeping with world's best practice urban development, particularly in terms of its encouragement of private vehicle use over public transport. Consequently, it is requested that the proposed New M5 be benchmarked against other high quality international land use/transport solutions to deem its relevance and appropriateness, or otherwise;

- ii) the New M5 is a key component of the WestConnex Motorway Project and should be considered in relation to the total project including its proposed northern and southern extensions;
- iii) it is requested that an alternative which combines strategic, site specific road improvements with public transport improvements should be examined and compared to the tunnelled motorway option currently being pursued;
- iv) a broader base of environmental consideration should be used to assess the project. Such consideration should include a larger scale analysis of the implications of encouraging private car use ahead of public transport;
- v) It is essential that, as the motorway tunnels are being constructed to accommodate three-five lanes each direction, the EIS should assess the impacts of the project's ultimate capacity rather than:
 - o examining an artificially constrained capacity of two lanes in each direction, and
 - o addressing the project's ultimate capacity in subsequent assessments.

This is of particular concern as the, currently proposed, incremental approach would diminish the rate of growth of traffic by comparing the ultimate volumes with increased traffic that will result from the two x two lane configuration rather than the existing baseline traffic volumes.

It should be noted that such an approach is likely to have far reaching implications in relation to the surface road network (both parallel routes and feeder roads).

- vi) assessment of the project should consider the implications of leaching patrons from existing (or likely future) public transport services and how that reduction in patronage may impact on Sydney's public transport systems in the longer term;
- vii) concern is expressed that the analysis does not include any consideration of the overall environmental costs or benefits of the various project alternatives. Additionally, the alternatives considered did not include a hybrid version which included public transport and rail freight investment in combination with limited strategic road improvements.
- viii) the EIS generally focusses on a narrow corridor of influence with little consideration being given to the broader impacts of such a major shift in the approach to catering for travel demand across the Sydney Region. The impacts of a motorway project of this magnitude, particularly in terms of the overall WestConnex Motorway Project (including its potential northern and southern extensions) are far reaching and the assessment should include large scale impacts including broader environmental, sustainability, public health and wellbeing, and land use/transport integration issues
- ix) it is considered that the traffic modelling included in the Environmental Impact Statement is limited and may significantly underestimate future

traffic volumes and congestion that will be experienced both in the 2021 and 2031 scenarios. The significant investment of public and private funds which will be required to deliver the projects justify a fully co-ordinated, evidence based assessment of the how the WestConnex Motorway Project will contribute to the liveability and social, economic and environmental sustainability of the city.

- x) concern is expressed that the timing of the WestConnex Motorway Project (including Stages 1b, 2 and 3) is such that the traffic model could not effectively include the specific demographic information that is likely to result from numerous urban revitalisation projects currently proposed for the Sydney Region;
- xi) specifically in relation to the traffic and transport modelling conducted by the proponent concern is generally raised regarding:
 - o Insufficient detail provided to determine the accuracy of the various land use assumptions that have been made particularly in relation to:
 - the future demand of Sydney Airport once the Western Sydney Airport has become operational;
 - implications of the Moorebank Intermodal Freight Terminal;
 - major land use initiatives across the Sydney Region including those currently associated with the Parramatta Road Urban Transformation Project, Bays Precinct, Waterloo Rejuvenation, as well as Urban Growth NSW various Western Sydney portfolio, such as Oran Park Town, Newbrook and Macarthur Heights
 - o Insufficient detail provided to determine the accuracy of various social assumptions including:
 - The value of time to different classifications of traveller;
 - Toll sensitivity for freight vehicles in contrast to private drivers;
 - Whether potentially reduced travel times will encourage residents of western Sydney to remain in existing areas, or travel for the same amount of time and move further afield to more affordable areas (thus travelling greater distances in the same time as they currently travel);
 - o Existing and likely future mix of heavy vehicles (particularly in relation to the proportion of dangerous goods vehicle , which are unlikely to be permitted to use the tunnels);
- xii) while the Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements specifically includes reference to consideration of the implications of induced traffic on both existing public transport and future public transport opportunities there does not appear to be any quantification of:
 - o The total amount of additional traffic induced by the creation of the motorway (ie car trips that would not have been made if the motorway was not constructed);
 - o The total amount of public transport patrons who would move from public transport to private vehicles as a result of the increased road capacity (on both the motorway and the surface road network), and the

impact this migration of patrons will have on the viability of public transport;

- xiii) a thorough investigation of public transport alternatives, including consideration of the greenhouse gas savings compared to the New M5 project and WestConnex, as a whole. This information should be placed on public exhibition for community consideration prior to decision making about the project.
- xiv) confirmation is required that the NSW EPA has approved the alternative assessment methodology used in the EIS, as the approach does not satisfy all of the requirements of the 'Approved Methods for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW'. If the approach adopted in the EIS is not consistent with the relevant EPA requirements for modelling and assessment further studies should be undertaken and publically exhibited to ensure that the assessment is undertaken in a manner consistent with the requirements of the EPA.
- xv) additional information regarding the 'worst case' assessment of air quality which considers the maximum emission rates (in g/s) and a peak congested scenario should be provided;
- xvi) there is a need for the completion of a quantitative construction air quality assessment, focusing on the risk of particulate impacts and including the potential for release of crystalline silica.
- xvii) in the event of approval of the project the following conditions should be applied:
 - o Portal emission monitoring
 - o Dampers should be provided in the western ventilation outlet to allow for varying outlet diameters.
- xviii) staff of relevant Councils and State bodies should be consulted with regards to local biodiversity plans, objectives, actions and data. Some species considered common through NSW and not protected by threatened species legislation, such as the superb fairy wren, are locally vulnerable and Councils and the local community are working to preserve these species. By focusing on the minimum requirement to protect threatened species, populations and ecological community only, the importance of biodiversity within the local urban context is over-looked;
- xix) further detail needs to be provided regarding how the loss of established vegetation is to be mitigated;
- xx) further justification needs to be provided regarding the selection of the boundary study areas and exclusion of key biodiversity spaces;
- xxi) concern is expressed that the limited scope of the surveys and proposed mitigation measures may mean that the flora and fauna impacts are greater than those suggested by the EIS;

- xxii) as the EIS details that no like-for-like credits were available for purchase, in relation to its biodiversity off-set strategy it is considered that the biodiversity proposed to be cleared will not be adequately substituted.
 - xxiii) the construction of motorways is not considered to be consistent with best practice greenhouse gas abatement projects related to transportation and the EIS itself acknowledges that greenhouse gas savings will decrease over time as traffic volumes increase;
 - xxiv) It is necessary to carry out a comprehensive evaluation of a public transport alternative and compare this to the project in terms of greenhouse gas emissions in the EIS.
 - xxv) The construction of motorways is not considered to be consistent with best practice greenhouse gas abatement projects related to transportation and the EIS itself acknowledges that greenhouse gas savings will decrease over time as traffic volumes increase.
 - xxvi) It is necessary to carry out a comprehensive evaluation of a public transport alternative and compare this to the project in terms of greenhouse gas emissions in the EIS
 - xxvii) It is important to note that this assessment considers the impact of future climate change on the project, rather than the impact of the project on future of climate change. It would be beneficial to assess the impact of the project on climate change.
 - xxviii) It is important that regular reporting is conducted on the sustainability objectives and targets throughout the construction and later phases of the project.
4. That Leichhardt Council write to the City of Sydney and Marrickville Councils seeking advice about their assessment of the impact of West Connex on King Street Newtown

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 2.5 RMS PROPOSED CHANGES TO BUS STOPS IN
ANNANDALE, CAMPERDOWN, LEICHHARDT, LILYFIELD
AND ROZELLE**

C19/16P RESOLVED EMSLEY/ HANNAFORD

1. That Council forwards a submission as detailed in **Attachment 2** to RMS with its concerns on the proposed alterations to bus stops as indicated in the RMS Community update December 2015.
2. That RMS be requested to consult with Council on the community feedback prior to any further action being taken in this project and RMS then arrange a public meeting on the outcomes, inviting Council officers, affected businesses and residents within Leichhardt LGA.
3. That the cost of the following and any associated works be met by RMS, subject to the RMS proposal proceeding:
 - (i) removal of bus shelters and RMS agree to fund the on-going financial impacts with the existing street furniture contract;
 - (ii) removal of street trees and associated installation of road pavement;
 - (iii) construct new facilities to meet DDA requirements;
 - (iv) reprogramming of parking meters and changes to signposting and
 - (v) provision and installation of new bus shelters without advertising.
4. That council additionally inform RMS that it:
 - a. Gives high priority within its Integrated Transport Plan to the encouragement of increased patronage of bus services and decreased reliance on private car use across the Leichhardt LGA, and so opposes, in principle, any proposed changes to bus stop location and frequency within the LGA serving to discourage patronage of public transport;
 - b. Notes that the proposed decrease in the frequency of stops will decrease the accessibility of public transport to all users, with significant effects for the least mobile in the community - aged, persons living with a disability - discouraging public transport use by these groups in particular, and so would be contrary to Council's Access and Healthy Ageing strategies;
 - c. Noting the value of the current stop (stops F and C on p.222) in close proximity to the Norton St Plaza shopping complex, and the current frequent access of that complex by public transport users, opposes the removal of this stop and the related reduction/reconfiguration of the Norton St stops 7-10 for this and other reasons provided in Council's submission.
5. That the reference to splitting bus stops be removed from this submission.
6. That Council in its submission oppose the changes to bus stops and bus routes contained in the RMS proposal.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

During discussion of this item the following amendment was moved by Crs Channells and Porteous, The Amendment was Carried and was incorporated in the above motion as point 6. The vote for and against the amendment is shown below;

CHANNELLS / PORTEOUS

That Council in its submission oppose the changes to bus stops and bus routes contained in the RMS proposal.

The vote for and against the above CARRIED AMENDMENT is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino

AGAINST VOTE - Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 2.6 DRAFT TRANSPORT CORRIDOR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING
AND SIGNAGE GUIDELINES**

C20/16P RESOLVED McKENZIE/ STAMOLIS

That Council:

1. Receives and notes this report;
2. Make a submission to the Department of Planning and Environment in relation to maximum luminance levels and prohibiting or restricting the use of mobile electronic displays for advertising along road corridors.
3. Opposes the proliferation of these advertising signs in the submission

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

ITEM 3.1 SUMMARY OF RESOLUTIONS

C21/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ KELLY

That the information be received and noted.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 3.2 LEICHHARDT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN 2013
HOUSEKEEPING AMENDMENT (DCP REVIEW STAGE 1A)**

C22/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ HANNAFORD

That Council:

1. Endorse the draft amendments to Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 shown in **Attachments 1 and 2** of this report for public exhibition;
2. Delegate authority to the General Manager to make changes to the draft amendments to Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 prior to the public exhibition as a result of consideration by Council or are minor changes that do not affect the substance of its provisions; and
3. Endorse the Community Engagement Plan for the draft amendments to Leichhardt Development Control Plan 2013 as outlined in this report.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

ITEM 3.3 REVIEW OF WESTCONNEX BUSINESS CASE

C23/16P RESOLVED BREEN/ HANNAFORD

That Council:

1. Forward the findings of the attached review of the WestConnex Updated Strategic Business Case to the Department of Planning as part of Council's Submission on the 'New M5' Environmental Impact Statement;
2. Forward the findings of the attached review of the WestConnex Updated Strategic Business Case to the Minister for Planning, Minister for Roads and the NSW Premier as part of a separate submission supporting Council's opposition to the WestConnex Motorway Project.
3. That Council send an electronic copy to all the Councils along the WestConnex corridor and to all NSW Members of Parliament.
4. That Council note that its request for a study providing comparison between the cost benefits of WestConnex with another transport infrastructure development based on underground rail / rail or other mode has yet to receive a response from State Government.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 3.4 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION - BIRCHGROVE TENNIS
 COURTS CLUBHOUSE**

C24/16P RESOLVED STAMOLIS/ BYRNE

That Council consents to the lodging of the Development Application for alterations to the Club House at Birchgrove Park Tennis Court as outlined in the Report.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 3.5 LEICHHARDT PARK - AMENDMENT OF PLAN OF
 MANAGEMENT - FUNCTION CENTRE AT LEICHHARDT
 OVAL NO. 1**

C25/16P RESOLVED HANNAFORD/ COSTANTINO

That Council adopt the Second Amendment to the Plan of Management for Leichhardt Park (D500207) Reserve in the form of Attachment 2 to the Report with the date of adoption to be inserted on the cover page.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

ITEM 3.6 LOCAL GOVERNMENT AMENDMENT (COUNCILLOR MISCONDUCT AND POOR PERFORMANCE) ACT 2015

C26/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ COSTANTINO

That the amended Code of Conduct shown attached as Attachment 1 be adopted.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

ITEM 3.7 REQUEST BY COUNCILLORS TO ATTEND CONFERENCES

C27/16P RESOLVED BYRNE/ HANNAFORD

That Council endorse the requests from Councillor Emsley to attend the Sustainable Refugee Settlement Conference from 8-9 March 2016 and Councillor Kelly to attend the Australian Local Government Women's Conference from 10-12 March 2016.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

ITEM 3.8 NSW CONTAINER DEPOSIT SCHEME DISCUSSION PAPER

C28/16P RESOLVED STAMOLIS/ BREEN

That Council:

1. Endorses the broad elements proposed by the LGNSW for a CDS as outlined in this report.
2. Works with the Southern Sydney Regional Organisation of Council's (SSROC's) CDS Working Group on a submission to the NSW government.
3. Notes the opportunity made available for the community to comment on the CDS Discussion Paper via its existing website portal on Container Deposit Schemes.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

**ITEM 3.9 SYDNEY INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S DAY 2016
BREAKFAST**

C29/16P RESOLVED KELLY/ HANNAFORD

That Council:

1. Purchase a corporate table for ten persons valued at \$1850 for the Sydney International Women's Day Breakfast in March 2016 (to be held at the Australian Technology Park) and to be funded from Council's Miscellaneous Priorities budget.
2. Invite students from Sydney Secondary College to join staff and Councillors at the Council table.

The vote for and against the above RESOLUTION is shown below for the record;

FOR VOTE - Cr Rochelle Porteous, Cr Craig Channells, Cr Daniel Kogoy, Cr Michele McKenzie, Cr John Stamolis, Cr Vera-Ann Hannaford, Cr Tony Costantino, Cr Darcy Byrne, Cr Simon Emsley, Cr Linda Kelly, Cr Frank Breen

AGAINST VOTE - Nil

ABSENT. DID NOT VOTE - Cr John Jobling

PRESENT. DID NOT VOTE - Nil

The meeting closed at 9.32pm.